DOCUMENTATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL INDICATOR DETERMINATION
Interim Final 2/5/99
RCRA Corrective Action
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)
Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control

Facility Name: **Former Rehrig International Facility**
Facility Address: **901 North Lombardy Street, Richmond, Virginia**
Facility EPA ID #: **VAD 089 028 377**

1. Has **all** available relevant/significant information on known and reasonably suspected releases to the groundwater media, subject to RCRA Corrective Action (e.g., from Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU), Regulated Units (RU), and Areas of Concern (AOC)), been **considered** in this EI determination?

☒ If yes - check here and continue with #2 below.

☐ If no - re-evaluate existing data, or

☐ If data are not available, skip to #8 and enter "IN" (more information needed) status code.

BACKGROUND

The former Rehrig facility is located at 901 North Lombardy Street, Richmond, Virginia. The site is located in an urban commercial, industrial, and residential area. According to the City of Richmond property report, the site address is also known as 630 Bowe Street and 800 Bowe Street. The current owner is Kroger Real Estate Department of Roanoke, Virginia.

The first known facility structure was a single building constructed in 1904 by the Export Leaf Tobacco Company and was used for tobacco leaf storage until 1977. Bowe Street Associates purchased the property in 1977. The property remained vacant until 1979 when Rehrig leased a portion of the onsite building. According to the 1993 Environmental Site Assessment Update Report, the State Penitentiary, a book binding business, and an air filter products manufacturer also historically occupied the site. Previous owners were listed as the Broad Street Associates, who purchased the property in May 2002 and New River Real Estate who purchased the property in June 2003.

The Rehrig facility was approximately 250,000 square feet in size. Rehrig manufactured grocery shopping carts and shopping baskets at the site from 1979 to 2000. According to the 1993 Environmental Site Assessment Update Report, the manufacturing process consisted of metal fabrication, injection molding that produced high-density polyethylene (HDPE) parts, and metal plating of nickel and chromium onto the metal parts of the shopping carts (a new plating system was installed in 1993). Ancillary equipment used by Rehrig included a wastewater treatment system, diesel fuel Underground Storage Tank (UST), a waste oil Aboveground Storage Tank (AST), and a hydraulic oil AST. Rehrig plated and assembled approximately ¼ million shopping carts and baskets per year.

The Rehrig facility maintained an onsite wastewater treatment system to treat process water that contained nickel and chromium electroplating operations in an on-site wastewater treatment system. This system discharged treated water to the City of Richmond under a Pretreatment Permit issued and administered by the City of Richmond.

Definition of Environmental Indicators (for the RCRA Corrective Action)

Environmental Indicators (EI) are measures being used by the RCRA Corrective Action program to go beyond programmatic activity measures (e.g., reports received and approved, etc.) to track changes in the quality of the environment. The two EI developed to-date indicate the quality of the environment in relation to current human exposures to contamination and the migration of contaminated groundwater. An EI for non-human (ecological) receptors is intended to be developed in the future.

Definition of "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI

A positive "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI determination ("YE" status code) indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater has stabilized, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the original "area of contaminated groundwater" (for all groundwater "contamination" subject to RCRA corrective action at or from the identified facility (i.e., site-wide)).

Relationship of EI to Final Remedies

While Final remedies remain the long-term objective of the RCRA Corrective Action program the EI are near-term objectives which are currently being used as Program measures for the Government Performance and Results Act of 1993, (GPRA). The "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" EI pertains ONLY to the physical migration (i.e., further spread) of contaminated ground water and contaminants within groundwater (e.g., non-aqueous phase liquids or NAPLs). Achieving this EI does not substitute for achieving other stabilization or final remedy requirements and expectations associated with sources of contamination and the need to restore, wherever practicable, contaminated groundwater to be suitable for its designated current and future uses.

Duration / Applicability of EI Determinations

EI Determinations status codes should remain in RCRIS national database ONLY as long as they remain true (i.e., RCRIS status codes must be changed when the regulatory authorities become aware of contrary information).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

2. Is **groundwater** known or reasonably suspected to be **"contaminated"**[1] above appropriately protective "levels" (i.e., applicable promulgated standards, as well as other appropriate standards, guidelines, guidance, or criteria) from releases subject to RCRA Corrective Action, anywhere at, or from, the facility?

☐ If yes - continue after identifying key contaminants, citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation.

X If no - skip to #8 and enter "YE" status code, after citing appropriate "levels," and referencing supporting documentation to demonstrate that groundwater is not "contaminated."

☐ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Two releases to the environment occurred at the site and were remediated to regulatory agency satisfaction.

- Hydraulic oil-contaminated soil was discovered during facility expansion activities and removed. This incident was closed to the satisfaction of the State Water Control Board and the Richmond Fire Department.
- Soil contamination below Virginia State Water Control Board reportable levels was detected during a 1989 diesel fuel UST removal activity

No evidence of other releases to soil or groundwater were found in files reviewed at VDEQ or USEPA Region III offices. No groundwater monitoring wells are known to have been installed at the site nor was groundwater encountered in 15-foot deep soil borings advanced in 1993. Therefore, groundwater quality is unknown. No groundwater wells were located within a three-mile radius of the site at the time of the 1989 Preliminary Assessment Report. The 1989 Preliminary Assessment Report indicated that groundwater contamination was not expected due to the plating tanks having concrete containment systems and the fact that all processes took place indoors.

Potable water is supplied to the former Rehrig site and surrounding area by the City of Richmond. The source of the water is the James River; the intake is approximately three miles upstream and southwest of the site.

"City of Richmond Ordinance Division 4 – Water Service Connections, Pipes, and Meters – Section 106-336 – Duties of Owners and Tenants" indicates that all newly constructed or existing buildings be connected to the public water service system. The Ordinance also notes that owners who have used another water supply system (for example, a well) that was installed and used prior to January 1, 1970 are not required to have a public water connection if it can be proven that the alternative water supply is not detrimental to public health and safety, as approved by the Richmond City Health District. The ordinance also states that a property owner is able to drill a new potable well provided the Richmond City Health District approves the well and water quality.

TtEC contacted the Richmond City Health District for clarification of this ordinance. An environmental inspector indicated that 98 percent of the City of Richmond is served by municipal water (the vicinity of the site is included in this 98 percent) and that the District does not approve wells for potable use. The inspector reported that if there are any wells in the vicinity of the site, they are for irrigation purposes only.

Footnotes:

[1]"Contamination" and "contaminated" describes media containing contaminants (in any form, NAPL and/or dissolved, vapors, or solids, that are subject to RCRA) in concentrations in excess of appropriate "levels" (appropriate for the protection of the groundwater resource and its beneficial uses).

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

3. Has the **migration** of contaminated groundwater **stabilized** (such that contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within "existing area of contaminated groundwater"[2] as defined by the monitoring locations designated at the time of this determination)?

   ☐ If yes - continue, after presenting or referencing the physical evidence (e.g., groundwater sampling/measurement/migration barrier data) and rationale why contaminated groundwater is expected to remain within the (horizontal or vertical) dimensions of the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]).

   ☐ If no (contaminated groundwater is observed or expected to migrate beyond the designated locations defining the "existing area of groundwater contamination"[2]) – skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after providing an explanation.

   ☐ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

[2] "existing area of contaminated groundwater" is an area (with horizontal and vertical dimensions) that has been verifiably demonstrated to contain all relevant groundwater contamination for this determination, and is defined by designated (monitoring) locations proximate to the outer perimeter of "contamination" that can and will be sampled/tested in the future to physically verify that all "contaminated" groundwater remains within this area, and that the further migration of "contaminated" groundwater is not occurring. Reasonable allowances in the proximity of the monitoring locations are permissible to incorporate formal remedy decisions (i.e., including public participation) allowing a limited area for natural attenuation.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

4. Does "contaminated" groundwater **discharge** into **surface water** bodies?

☐ If yes - continue after identifying potentially affected surface water bodies.

☐ If no - skip to #7 (and enter a "YE" status code in #8, if #7 = yes) after providing an explanation and/or referencing documentation supporting that groundwater "contamination" does not enter surface water bodies.

☐ If unknown - skip to #8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

5. Is the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water likely to be **"insignificant"** (i.e., the maximum concentration[3] of each contaminant discharging into surface water is less than 10 times their appropriate groundwater "level," and there are no other conditions (e.g., the nature, and number, of discharging contaminants, or environmental setting), which significantly increase the potential for unacceptable impacts to surface water, sediments, or eco-systems at these concentrations)?

☐ If yes - skip to #7 (and enter "YE" status code in #8 if #7 = yes), after documenting:
1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of key contaminants discharged above their groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and
2) provide a statement of professional judgment/explanation (or reference documentation) supporting that the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is not anticipated to have unacceptable impacts to the receiving surface water, sediments, or eco-system.

☐ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water is potentially significant) - continue after documenting:
1) the maximum known or reasonably suspected concentration[3] of each contaminant discharged above its groundwater "level," the value of the appropriate "level(s)," and if there is evidence that the concentrations are increasing; and
2) for any contaminants discharging into surface water in concentrations[3] greater than 100 times their appropriate groundwater "levels," the estimated total amount (mass in kg/yr) of each of these contaminants that are being discharged (loaded) into the surface water body (at the time of the determination), and identify if there is evidence that the amount of discharging contaminants is increasing.

☐ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):

[3] As measured in groundwater prior to entry to the groundwater-surface water/sediment interaction (e.g., hyporheic) zone.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

6. Can the **discharge** of "contaminated" groundwater into surface water be shown to be "**currently acceptable**" (i.e., not cause impacts to surface water, sediments or eco-systems that should not be allowed to continue until a final remedy decision can be made and implemented[4])?

☐ If yes - continue after either:
1) identifying the Final Remedy decision incorporating these conditions, or other site-specific criteria (developed for the protection of the site's surface water, sediments, and eco-systems), and referencing supporting documentation demonstrating that these criteria are not exceeded by the discharging groundwater;
OR
2) providing or referencing an interim-assessment[5], appropriate to the potential for impact that shows the discharge of groundwater contaminants into the surface water is (in the opinion of a trained specialists, including ecologist) adequately protective of receiving surface water, sediments, and eco-systems, until such time when a full assessment and final remedy decision can be made. Factors which should be considered in the interim-assessment (where appropriate to help identify the impact associated with discharging groundwater) include: surface water body size, flow, use/classification/habitats and contaminant loading limits, other sources of surface water/sediment contamination, surface water and sediment sample results and comparisons to available and appropriate surface water and sediment "levels," as well as any other factors, such as effects on ecological receptors (e.g., via bio-assays/benthic surveys or site-specific ecological Risk Assessments), that the overseeing regulatory agency would deem appropriate for making the EI determination.

☐ If no - (the discharge of "contaminated" groundwater can not be shown to be "**currently acceptable**") - skip to #8 and enter "NO" status code, after documenting the currently unacceptable impacts to the surface water body, sediments, and/or eco-systems.

☐ If unknown - skip to 8 and enter "IN" status code.

Rationale and Reference(s):

[4] Note, because areas of inflowing groundwater can be critical habitats (e.g., nurseries or thermal refugia) for many species, appropriate specialist (e.g., ecologist) should be included in management decisions that could eliminate these areas by significantly altering or reversing groundwater flow pathways near surface water bodies.

[5] The understanding of the impacts of contaminated groundwater discharges into surface water bodies is a rapidly developing field and reviewers are encouraged to look to the latest guidance for the appropriate methods and scale of demonstration to be reasonably certain that discharges are not causing currently unacceptable impacts to the surface waters, sediments or eco-systems.

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

7. Will groundwater **monitoring** / measurement data (and surface water/sediment/ecological data, as necessary) be collected in the future to verify that contaminated groundwater has remained within the horizontal (or vertical, as necessary) dimensions of the "existing area of contaminated groundwater?"

☐ If yes - continue after providing or citing documentation for planned activities or future sampling/measurement events. Specifically identify the well/measurement locations, which will be tested in the future to verify the expectation (identified in #3) that groundwater contamination will not be migrating horizontally (or vertically, as necessary) beyond the "existing area of groundwater contamination."

☐ If no - enter "NO" status code in #8.

☐ If unknown - enter "IN" status code in #8.

Rationale and Reference(s):

Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control
Environmental Indicator (EI) RCRIS code (CA750)

8. Check the appropriate RCRIS status codes for the Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control EI (event code CA750), and obtain Supervisor (or appropriate Manager) signature and date on the EI determination below (attach appropriate supporting documentation as well as a map of the facility).

X YE - Yes, "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater Under Control" has been verified. Based on a review of the information contained in this EI determination, it has been determined that the "Migration of Contaminated Groundwater" is "Under Control" at the Former Rehrig International facility, EPA ID # VAD 089 028 377, located at 901 North Lombardy Street, in Richmond, Virginia. Specifically, this determination indicates that the migration of "contaminated" groundwater is under control, and that monitoring will be conducted to confirm that contaminated groundwater remains within the "existing area of contaminated groundwater" This determination will be re-evaluated when the Agency becomes aware of significant changes at the facility.

☐ NO - Unacceptable migration of contaminated groundwater is observed or expected.

☐ IN - More information is needed to make a determination.

Completed by (signature) [signature] Date 1/22/09
(print) DENIS ZIELINSKI
(title) SENIOR RPM

Supervisor (signature) [signature] Date 1/22/09
(print) Louis Picerno
(title) Associate Director, Land & Chemicals Division
(EPA Region or State) Region III

Locations where References may be found:

US EPA Region III
Waste & Chemicals Management Division
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Contact telephone and e-mail numbers
(name) Denis M. Zielinski
(phone #) 215-814-3431
(e-mail) zielinski.denis@epa.gov