

Natural Conditions
Assessment for Low DO
Winterpock Creek
Chesterfield County, Virginia

Submitted by

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

March 2005

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary.....	iii
1. Introduction.....	1
2. Physical Setting.....	1
2.1.1. Listed Water Bodies.....	1
2.2. Watershed.....	3
2.2.1. General Description.....	3
2.2.2. Geology, Climate, Land Use.....	3
3. Description of Water Quality Problem/Impairment.....	7
3.1. Associated Mainstem and Tributary site DO.....	8
4. Water Quality Standard.....	15
4.1. Designated Uses.....	15
4.2. Applicable Water Quality Criteria.....	15
5. Methodology for Natural Conditions Assessment.....	16
6. Natural Conditions Assessment for Winterpock Creek.....	20
6.1. 7Q10 Low Flow Screening.....	20
6.2. Slope and Appearance.....	20
6.3. Instream Nutrients.....	24
6.4. Impact from Point Sources and Land Use.....	24
6.5. Natural Seasonal DO Fluctuation.....	25
7. Conclusions.....	26
8. Public Participation.....	26
9. References.....	27
Appendix A.....	A1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Impaired segment description (Winterpock Creek)	1
Table 2.	Climate summary for Amelia, Virginia (440187).....	3
Table 3.	Land use in the Winterpock Creek watershed.....	3
Table 4.	DO data collected by DEQ on Winterpock Creek.....	8
Table 5.	Applicable water quality standards.....	15
Table 6.	VPDES, VPA, VAG and VAR point source facilities in the Winterpock Creek watershed.....	25

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E1.	DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23, October 1990 to March 2004.....	iii
Figure 1.	Map of the Winterpock Creek study area	2
Figure 2.	Soil Characteristics of the Winterpock Creek Watershed.....	4
Figure 3.	Land Use in the Winterpock Creek Watershed.....	6
Figure 4.	Time series of DO concentrations (station 2-WPK003.23).....	8
Figure 5.	DO at Surline Branch at Rt. 602, 2-SUC001.31.	8
Figure 6.	DO at UT to Surline Creek at Rt. 602, 2-XUC000.46	9
Figure 7.	DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUA000.81.....	9
Figure 8.	DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUB000.27.....	10
Figure 9.	DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 603, 2-WPK004.42.....	10
Figure 10.	DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-WPK005.73	10
Figure 11.	DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655, 2-WPK007.08.....	11
Figure 12.	DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 783, 2-WPK008.15.....	11
Figure 13.	RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23	12
Figure 14.	RRPDC DO at Surline Creek at Rt. 602, 2-SUC001.31	12
Figure 15.	RRPDC DO at UT to Surline Creek at Rt. 602, XUC000.46	12
Figure 16.	RRPDC DO at UT to Surline Creek at Rt. 621, 2-XUC001.81	13
Figure 17.	RRPDC DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUA000.81.....	13
Figure 18.	RRPDC DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUB000.27.....	13
Figure 19.	RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 603, 2-WPK004.42.....	14
Figure 20.	RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Clover Hill Road, 2-WPK005.23	14
Figure 21.	RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655, 2-WPK007.08.....	14
Figure 22.	Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602.....	21
Figure 23.	Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664.....	21
Figure 24.	Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655 ..	22
Figure 25.	Left bank swamp area at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655	23
Figure 26.	Seasonal Variation in DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23, 1990 - 2003...23	23

Executive Summary


This report presents the assessment of whether low DO in the Winterpock Creek watershed is due to natural conditions or whether a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) must be performed because of anthropogenic impacts. Winterpock Creek is located in Chesterfield County in the James River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit Code 02080207). The TMDL waterbody identification codes (WBID, Virginia Hydrologic Unit) for Winterpock Creek and its unnamed tributaries are VAP-J12R-02 and VAP-J12R-03 in the Piedmont Physiographic Province of Virginia.

There are 32.8 total stream miles in the Winterpock watershed (National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)). The impaired segment for low DO and pH is 20.36 miles of Winterpock Creek and its tributaries. Low pH will be addressed in a separate document.

The drainage area of the Winterpock Creek watershed is approximately 25.6 square miles. The average annual rainfall recorded at Amelia, VA (within the study area) is 44.27 inches. The approximately 16,369.1 acre watershed is predominately forested (88.0 percent). Agriculture encompasses 6.9 percent of the watershed, with 2.0 percent cropland and 4.9 pasture/hayland. Residential and commercial areas compose approximately 0.5 percent of the land base. The remaining 4.6 percent of the watershed is comprised of 2.5 percent transitional and other grasses, and 2.1 percent wetlands and open water.

Winterpock Creek and its tributaries were listed as impaired on Virginia's 1994 305(b) Virginia Water Quality Assessment Report, and 2004 305(b) / 303(d) Integrated Report (VADEQ, 1994 & 2004) due to violations of the State's water quality standards for low DO and pH. This report concerns only the DO, while the pH will be addressed in a separate TMDL report. Out of 102 pH values collected between October 1990 and March 2004 at station 2-WPK003.23, 33 were below the lower water quality standard for DO of 4.0 mg/l (Figure E1).

Figure E1. DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23, October 1990 to March 2004.



The Richmond Regional Planning District Commission (RRPDC) provided additional DO data to VADEQ, which has been included in this report. The data were collected on a weekly basis from August 13, 1997 through July 22, 1998 from nine stations along Winterpock Creek and its tributaries.

According to Virginia Water Quality Standards (9 VAC 25-260-10A), "all state waters are designated for the following uses: recreational uses (e.g., swimming and boating); the propagation and growth of a balanced indigenous population of aquatic life, including game fish, which might be reasonably expected to inhabit them; wildlife; and the production of edible and marketable natural resources (e.g., fish and shellfish)."

As indicated above, Winterpock Creek must support all designated uses by meeting all applicable criteria. The Winterpock Creek has been assessed as not supporting the aquatic life use due to the exceedance of the DO criteria that are designed to protect aquatic life in Class III waters.

In this document, VADEQ proposes a "Methodology for Determining if pH and DO Impairments in Streams are Due to Natural Conditions." This methodology is based on a study done by MapTech (MapTech 2003) and will be used here to determine if the DO impairments in Winterpock Creek are natural and if Winterpock Creek can be re-classified as Class VII (Swamp Waters).

The level of DO in a water body is determined by a balance between water temperature, reaeration and decay of organic material. Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low DO include slow-moving, ripple-less waters or wetlands where the decay of organic matter produces organic acids. These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems. The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below.

- Step 1. Determine slope and appearance.
- Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.
- Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).
- Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

No low DO violations occurred below 7Q10 at listing station 2-WPK003.23, therefore no DO violations were eliminated at this site.

Winterpock Creek from rivermile 8.47 downstream to the rivermile 0.87, exhibits low slope and large areas of forested land with swamps and heavy tree canopy. Decomposition of the large inputs of decaying vegetation from areas of forested land with swamps and heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed lower DO as they decay. These are not considered anthropogenic impacts.

Winterpock Creek exhibits low nutrient concentrations below national background levels in streams from undeveloped areas, which are not indicative of human impact.

There are three permitted dischargers in the Winterpock Creek watershed, which have insignificant effect on stream DO. Residential / Commercial land use (0.5%) probably has no DO effect on streams in the watershed. The watershed is predominately forested (88.0 percent).

Winterpock Creek exhibits natural seasonal DO fluctuation due to the inverse relationship between water temperature and DO. DO is high in the winter months while water temperatures are low, and low in the summer months when water temperatures are high.

Based on the above information for low DO, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwater due to natural conditions, rather than a TMDL, is indicated for mainstem Winterpock Creek from rivermile 6.42 at the confluence of the largest tributary between Rts. 655 and 664 and its unnamed tributaries downstream to the mouth, excluding Surline Branch and its tributaries, and unnamed tributary "XUB". **However, based on information for low pH in a separate document, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwater due to natural conditions, rather than a**

TMDL, is indicated for mainstem Winterpock Creek from rivermile 8.47 and its unnamed tributaries downstream to the mouth, excluding Surline Branch and its tributaries. The total miles classified as Swampwaters are 18.33 stream miles.

DEQ performed the assessment of the Winterpock Creek low DO natural condition in lieu of a TMDL. Therefore neither a TMDL Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting nor a public meeting was involved. Public participation will occur during the next water quality standards triennial review process.

1. Introduction

Winterpock Creek and its tributaries were listed as impaired on Virginia’s 1994 305(b) Virginia Water Quality Assessment Report, and 2004 305(b) / 303(d) Integrated Report (VADEQ, 1994 & 2004) due to violations of the State’s water quality standards for pH, DO, and fecal coliform bacteria. This report evaluates the DO impairment by determining if natural conditions are the cause of the impairment, thus obviating the need for a TMDL. An assessment of low pH due to natural conditions and the fecal coliform bacteria TMDL will be performed on the Winterpock Creek watershed in separate documents.

A glossary of terms used throughout this report is presented as Appendix A.

2. Physical Setting


2.1. Listed Water Bodies

Winterpock Creek is located in Chesterfield County in the James River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit Code 02080207). The TMDL waterbody identification codes (WBID, Virginia Hydrologic Unit) for Winterpock Creek and its unnamed tributaries are VAP-J12R-02 and VAP-J12R-03. There are 32.8 total stream miles in the Winterpock watershed (National Hydrography Dataset (NHD)). The impaired segment for low pH and DO is 20.36 miles of Winterpock Creek and its tributaries, as described in Table 1. The impaired segment for fecal coliform bacteria is 9.97 miles of mainstem Winterpock Creek.

Table 1. Impaired segment descriptions (Winterpock Creek)

Segment (segment ID)	Impairment (source of impairment)	Upstream Limit Description	Downstream Limit Description	Miles Affected
Winterpock Creek and tributaries, except Surline Branch and tributaries VAP-J12R-02	pH (unknown) DO	Winterpock Creek headwaters	Appomattox River confluence	20.36
Winterpock Creek mainstem VAP-J12R-03	Fecal coliform bacteria (unknown)	Winterpock Creek headwaters	Appomattox River confluence	9.97

Figure 1. Map of the Winterpock Creek study area.



2.2. Watershed

2.2.1. General Description

Winterpock Creek, located within Chesterfield County, Virginia, is a minor tributary to the James River. It is about 10 miles long and flows southeast from its headwaters near Skinquarter, VA, to its confluence with the Appomattox River at Lake Chesdin. The watershed itself has an area of approximately 25.6 square miles. Surline Branch is a major tributary that enters Winterpock Creek from the east in the lower part of the watershed. There are two unnamed tributaries entering Winterpock Creek from the western portions of the watershed. There is no continuous flow gaging station on Winterpock Creek, however there is a gage on Deep Creek near Mannboro, VA, 02041000, located eight miles southwest of Winterpock Creek, with a drainage area of 158 mi².

2.2.2. Geology, Climate, Land Use

Geology and Soils


Winterpock Creek is in the Piedmont physiographic region. The Piedmont of Virginia extends eastward from the Blue Ridge to the Fall Line, where Paleozoic-age and older igneous and metamorphic rocks are covered by unconsolidated sediments of the Atlantic Coastal Plain. The Virginia Piedmont is part of the greater southeastern Piedmont, which extends from northeastern Alabama through Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina, Virginia, Maryland, and southeastern Pennsylvania. The Piedmont is characterized by deeply weathered, poorly exposed bedrock and a high degree of geological complexity, making it one of the last frontiers of North American regional geology. The Piedmont contains a collage of rock associations or terranes that are bounded by northeast-trending regional faults. (<http://www.geology.state.va.us/DOCS/Geol/pied.html>).

Soils for the Winterpock Creek watershed were documented utilizing the VA State Soil Geographic Database (STATSGO). Two general soil types were identified using in this database. Descriptions of these soil series were derived from queries to the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Official Soil Series Description web site (<http://ortho.ftw.nrcs.usda.gov/cgi-bin/osd/osdname.cgi>). Figure 2 shows the location of these general soil types in the watershed.

Soils of the Appling-Wedowee-Ashlar-Louisburg-Vance-Worsham series (VA030) are moderate to very deep that formed in residuum from weathered igneous, metamorphic, and crystalline rock of the Piedmont Plateau. Soils range from excessively to poorly drained, with moderately rapid to slow permeability.

The Creedmoor-Partlow-Mayodan-Pinkston soils (VA042) are very deep, well drained to poorly drained soils. Permeability for this series ranges from moderately rapid to slow. These soils have formed either from Triassic material or in local colluvial and alluvial materials.

Figure 2. Soil Characteristics of the Winterpock Creek Watershed.



Climate

The climate summary for Winterpock Creek comes from a weather station located in Amelia, VA, with a period of record from 1/ 1/1970 to 3/31/2003. The average annual maximum and minimum temperature (°F) at the weather station is 68.9 and 44.3 and the annual rainfall (inches) is 44.27 (Table 2) (Southeast Regional Climate Center, http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_va.html).

Table 2. Climate summary for Amelia, Virginia (440187)

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
Average Max. Temperature (F)	46.6	50.4	59.2	69.6	77.0	84.9	88.5	86.8	81.1	70.8	61.0	51.3	68.9
Average Min. Temperature (F)	24.8	27.0	33.8	42.2	51.5	60.5	64.8	63.1	56.0	43.6	35.5	28.3	44.3
Average Total Precipitation (in.)	3.50	3.24	4.35	3.20	3.81	3.18	4.41	4.07	3.83	4.06	3.64	2.98	44.27

Land Use

The Winterpock Creek watershed extends approximately 7 miles from its headwaters near Skinquarter, VA to its confluence with the Appomattox River at Lake Chesdin. The watershed is approximately 16,369.1 acres in size and is predominately forested (88.0 percent). Agriculture encompasses 6.9 percent of the watershed, with 2.0 percent cropland and 4.9 percent pasture/hayland. Residential and high use industrial areas compose approximately 0.5 percent of the land base. The remaining 4.6 percent of the watershed is comprised of 2.5 percent of transitional areas and grasses, and 2.1 percent wetlands and open water. Land use is described in Table 3.


A map of the distribution of land use in the watershed (Figure 3) shows that agriculture and forest land cover the majority of the watershed with the small portion of urban land present in the Surline Branch watershed, which is not listed for low pH.

Table 3. Land Use in the Winterpock Creek Watershed

Landuse	Acres	Percent of Total
Open Water	318.7	1.95
Low Intensity Residential	57.8	0.35
High Intensity Residential	0.2	0.00
High Intensity Commercial/Industrial/Transportation	24.0	0.15
Bare Rock/Sand/Clay	0.0	0.00
Quarries/Strip Mines/Gravel Pits	0.0	0.00
Transitional	415.0	2.54
Deciduous Forest	9179.3	56.08
Evergreen Forest	1446.5	8.84

Mixed Forest	3778.5	23.08
Pasture/Hay	803.5	4.91
Row Crops	324.9	1.98
Other Grasses (Urban/recreational; e.g. parks)	0.0	0.00
Woody Wetlands	0.0	0.00
Emergent Herbaceous Wetlands	20.7	0.13
TOTAL:	16369.1	100.00
	25.6 sq. miles	

Figure 3. Land Use in the Winterpock Creek Watershed.



3. Description of Water Quality Problem/Impairment

Winterpock Creek and its tributaries were listed as impaired on Virginia's 1994 305(b) Virginia Water Quality Assessment Report, and 2004 305(b) / 303(d) Integrated Report (VADEQ, 1994 & 2004) due to violations of the State's water quality standards for low pH and DO. This report concerns only the DO, while the low pH

will be addressed in a separate TMDL report. Out of 102 pH values collected between October 1990 and March 2004 at station 2-WPK003.23, (Table 4), 33 were below the lower water quality standard for DO of 4.0 mg/l (Figure 4).


Table 4. DO data collected by DEQ on Winterpock Creek at 2-WPK003.23

Station	Date of First Sample	Date of Last Sample	Number of Samples	(SU)			Number of Exceedances*
				Average	Minimum	Maximum	
2-WPK003.23	07/02/1990	12/21/2004	102	5.97	0.15	13.34	33

* Exceedances of the minimum pH water quality standard of pH 6.0 SU.

A time series graph of all data collected at station 2-WPK003.23 shows the DO values ranging from 0.15 mg/l to 13.34 mg/l (Figure 4). The horizontal line at the DO 4.0 mg/l represents the minimum instantaneous water quality standard. The data points below the 4.0 mg/l line illustrate violations of the water quality standard.

Figure 4. Time series of DO concentrations (station 2-WPK003.23).



3.1 Associated Mainstem and Tributary site DO

DEQ added several associated mainstem and tributary monitoring stations during data collection for the low DO assessment of natural conditions or development of a TMDL. Associated station DO data are presented in Figures 5 - 12 below.

Figure 5. DO at Surline Branch at Rt. 602, 2-SUC001.31.




Figure 6. DO at UT to Surline Branch at Rt. 602, 2-XUC000.46




Figure 7. DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUA000.81.




Figure 8. DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUB000.27.




Figure 9. DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 603, 2-WPK004.42.




Figure 10. DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-WPK005.73.




Figure 11. DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655, 2-WPK007.08.





Figure 12. DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 783, 2-WPK008.15.



The Richmond Regional Planning District Commission (RRPDC) provided additional pH data to VADEQ, which has been included in this report (Figures 13 – 21). The data were collected on a weekly basis from August 13, 1997 through July 22, 1998 from nine stations along Winterpock Creek, Surline Branch and associated tributaries. These data were used for further for water quality assessment of Winterpock Creek and included in the 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report (VADEQ 2004).

Figure 13. RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23.




Figure 14. RRPDC DO at Surline Branch at Rt. 602, 2-SUC001.31.




Figure 15. RRPDC DO at UT to Surline Branch at Rt. 602, 2-XUC000.46.




Figure 16. RRPDC DO at UT to Surline Branch at Rt. 621, 2-XUC001.81.




Figure 17. RRPDC DO at UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUA000.81.




Figure 18. RRPDC DO at NW UT to Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664, 2-XUB000.27.




Figure 19. RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 603, 2-WPK004.42.




Figure 20. RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Clover Hill Road, 2-WPK005.23.





Figure 21. RRPDC DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655, 2-WPK007.08.



4. Water Quality Standard

According to Virginia Water Quality Standards (9 VAC 25-260-5), the term “water quality standards means provisions of state or federal law which consist of a designated use or uses for the waters of the Commonwealth and water quality criteria for such waters based upon such uses. Water quality standards are to protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the State Water Control Law (§62.1-44.2 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) and the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC §1251 et seq.).”

As stated above, Virginia water quality standards consist of a designated use or uses and a water quality criteria. These two parts of the applicable water quality standard are presented in the sections that follow.

4.1. Designated Uses

According to Virginia Water Quality Standards (9 VAC 25-260-10A), “all state waters are designated for the following uses: recreational uses (e.g., swimming and boating); the propagation and growth of a balanced indigenous population of aquatic life, including game fish, which might be reasonably expected to inhabit them; wildlife; and the production of edible and marketable natural resources (e.g., fish and shellfish).”

As stated above, Winterpock Creek must support all designated uses by meeting all applicable criteria. Winterpock Creek has been assessed as not supporting the aquatic life use due to the exceedance of the DO criteria that are designed to protect aquatic life in Class III waters.

4.2. Applicable Water Quality Criteria

The Class III water quality criteria for DO in the Winterpock Creek watershed is a minimum of 4.0 mg/l and a mean daily DO of 5.0 mg/l (Table 5).

Parameter	Minimum Instantaneous	Mean Daily
DO	4.0 mg/l	5.0 mg/l

If the waterbody exceeds the criterion listed above in more than 10.5 percent of samples, the waterbody is classified as impaired and a TMDL must be developed and implemented to bring the waterbody into compliance with the water quality criterion. However, in the case of Winterpock Creek there is reason to believe that the waterbody has been mis-classified and that the apparent impairment is due to the swampy nature of the stream. In this document, VADEQ applies a proposed methodology for determining if DO and pH impairments in free-flowing streams are due to natural conditions. This methodology is based on a study done by MapTech in the Appomattox River Basin (MapTech 2003) and will be used here to determine if the DO impairments in Winterpock Creek are natural and if Winterpock Creek can be re-classified as Class VII (Swamp Waters).

5. Methodology for Natural Conditions Assessment

The level of acidity as registered by pH in a water body is determined by a balance between organic acids produced by decay of vegetative material, and buffering capacity. Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low pH include slow-moving, ripple-less waters or wetlands where the decay of organic matter produces organic acids. These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems. The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below.

- Step 1. Determine slope and appearance.
- Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.
- Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).
- Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

The results from this methodology (or process or approach) will be used to determine if the stream should be re-classified as Class VII Swamp Waters. Each step is described in detail below.

Procedure for Natural Condition Assessment of low pH and low DO in Virginia Streams

Prepared by Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
October 2004

I. INTRODUCTION

Virginia's list of impaired waters currently shows many waters as not supporting the aquatic life use due to exceedances of pH and/or DO criteria that are designed to protect aquatic life in Class III waters. However, there is reason to believe that most of these streams or stream segments have been mis-classified and should more appropriately be classified as Class VII, Swamp Waters. This document presents a procedure for

assessing if natural conditions are the cause of the low pH and/or low DO levels in a given stream or stream segment.

The level of dissolved oxygen (DO) in a water body is determined by a balance between oxygen-depleting processes (e.g., decomposition and respiration) and oxygen-restoring processes (e.g., aeration and photosynthesis). Certain natural conditions promote a situation where oxygen-restoring processes are not sufficient to overcome the oxygen-depleting processes. The level of acidity as registered by pH in a water body is determined by a balance between organic acids produced by decay of vegetative material, and buffering capacity.

Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low DO and/or naturally low pH include slow-moving, ripple-less waters. In such waters, the decay of organic matter depletes DO at a faster rate than it can be replenished and produces organic acids (tannins, humic and fulvic substances). These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems.

The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below. DEQ staff is proposing to use this approach to implement State Water Control Law 9 VAC 25-260-55, Implementation Procedure for Dissolved Oxygen Criteria in Waters Naturally Low in Dissolved Oxygen.

Waters that are shown to have naturally low DO and pH levels will be re-classified as Class VII, Swamp Waters, with the associated pH criterion of 4.3 to 9.0 SU. An associated DO criterion is currently being developed from swamp water data. A TMDL is not needed for these waters. An assessment category of 4C will be assigned until the waterbody has been re-classified.

II. NATURAL CONDITION ASSESSMENT

Following a description of the watershed (including geology, soils, climate, and land use), a description of the DO and/or pH water quality problem (including a data summary, time series and monthly data distributions), and a description of the water quality criteria that were the basis for the impairment determination, the available information should be evaluated in four steps.

Step 1. Determine appearance and flow/slope.

Streams or stream segments that have naturally low DO (< 4 mg/L) and low pH (< 6 SU) are characterized by very low slopes and low velocity flows (flat water with low reaeration rates). Decaying vegetation in such swampy waters provides large inputs of plant material that consumes oxygen as it decays. The decaying vegetation in a swamp water also

produces acids and decreases pH. Plant materials contain polyphenols such as tannin and lignin. Polyphenols and partially degraded polyphenols build up in the form of tannic acids, humic acids, and fulvic acids that are highly colored. The trees of swamps have higher polyphenolic content than the soft-stemmed vegetation of marshes. Swamp streams (blackwater) are therefore more highly colored and more acidic than marsh streams.

Appearance and flow velocity (or slope if flow velocity is not available) must be identified for each stream or stream segment to be assessed for natural conditions and potential re-classification as a Class VII swamp water. This can be done through maps, photos, field measurements or other appropriate means.

Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.

Excessive nutrients can cause a decrease in DO in relatively slow moving systems, where aeration is low. High nutrient levels are an indication of anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen, phosphorus, and possibly organic matter. Nutrient input can stimulate plant growth, and the resulting die-off and decay of excessive plankton or macrophytes can decrease DO levels.

USGS (1999) estimated national background nutrient concentrations in streams and groundwater from undeveloped areas. Average nitrate background concentrations are less than 0.6 mg/L for streams, average total nitrogen (TN) background concentrations are less than 1.0 mg/L, and average background concentrations of total phosphorus (TP) are less than 0.1 mg/L.

Nutrient levels must be documented for each stream or stream segment to be assessed for natural conditions and potential re-classification as a Class VII swamp water. Streams with average concentrations of nutrients greater than the national background concentrations should be further evaluated for potential impacts from anthropogenic sources.

Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).

Anthropogenic impacts on DO will likely disrupt the typical seasonal fluctuation seen in the DO concentrations of wetland streams. Seasonal analyses should be conducted for each potential Class VII stream or stream segment to verify that DO is depressed in the summer months and recovers during the winter, as would be expected in natural systems. A weak seasonal pattern could indicate that human inputs from point or nonpoint sources are impacting the seasonal cycle.

Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

Every effort should be made to identify human impacts that could exacerbate the naturally low DO and/or pH. For example, point sources should be identified and DMR data analyzed to determine if there is any impact on the stream DO or pH concentrations. Land use analysis can also be a valuable tool for identifying potential human impacts.

Lastly, a discussion of acid rain impacts should be included for low pH waters. The format of this discussion can be based either on the process used for the recent Class VII classification of several streams in the Blackwater watershed of the Chowan Basin (letter from DEQ to EPA, 14 October 2003). An alternative is a prototype regional stream comparison developed for Fourmile Creek, White Oak Swamp, Matadequin Creek and Mechumps Creek (all east of the fall line). The example analysis under IV in this document, or the example report prepared for Fourmile Creek, illustrate this approach. For streams west of the fall line, a regional stream comparison for 2004 analyses encompasses Winterpock, Winticomack, and Skinquarter Creeks.

7Q10 Data Screen

If the data warrant it, a data screen should be performed to ensure that the impairment was identified based on valid data. All DO or pH data that violate water quality standards should be screened for flows less than the 7Q10. Data collected on days when flow was < 7Q10 should be eliminated from the data set and the violation rate recalculated accordingly. Only those waters with violation rates determined days with flows > or = 7Q10 flows should be classified as impaired.

In some cases, data were collected when flow was 0 cfs. If the 7Q10 is identified as 0 cfs as well, all data collected under 0 cfs flow would need to be considered in the water quality assessment. In those cases, the impairment should be classified as 4C, Impaired due to natural conditions, no TMDL needed. However, a reclassification to Class VII may not always be appropriate.

III. NATURAL CONDITION CONCLUSION MATRIX

The following decision process should be applied for determining whether low pH and/or low DO values are due to natural conditions and justify a reclassification of a stream or stream segment as Class VII, Swamp Water.

- If velocity is low or if slope is low (<0.50%) AND
- If wetlands are present along stream reach AND
- If no point sources or only point sources with minimal impact on DO and pH AND
- If nutrients are < typical background
- ❖ average (= assessment period mean) nitrate less than 0.6 mg/L
- ❖ average total nitrogen (TN) less than 1.0 mg/L, and
- ❖ average total phosphorus (TP) are less than 0.1 mg/L AND
- For DO: If seasonal fluctuation is normal AND

For pH: If nearby streams without wetlands meet pH criteria OR if no correlation between in-stream pH and rain pH,

THEN determine as impaired due to natural condition

→ assess as category 4C in next assessment

→ initiate WQS reclassification to Class VII Swamp Water

→ get credit under consent decree

The analysis must state the extent of the natural condition based on the criteria outlined above. A map showing land use, point sources, water quality stations and, if necessary, the delineated segment to be classified as swamp water should be included.

In cases where not all of these criteria apply, a case by case argument must be made based on the specific conditions in the watershed.

6. Natural Conditions Assessment for Winterpock Creek

6.1 7Q10 Low Flow Screening

The 7Q10 flow of a stream is the lowest streamflow for seven consecutive days that occurs on average once every ten years. The first step for low flow 7Q10 screening is to determine the most accurate 7Q10 available. There is no long-term flow gaging station in the Winterpock Creek basin.

The 7Q10 flow for Winterpock Creek DO stations may be estimated by a correlation of discharge measurements made at 2-WPK003.23 with same day mean daily flows from the Deep Creek near Mannboro, VA gaging station (#02041000) located 8 miles southwest of 2-WPK003.23. The measurements and mean daily flows were plotted logarithmically and a best-fit trend line created. The 7Q10 for Deep Creek near Mannboro was used in the regression equation to yield a 7Q10 at 2-WPK003.23 of 0.0 cfs.

The DO Instantaneous Water Quality Standard applies **AT** 7Q10 flow, but **NOT** below 7Q10 flow (9 VAC 25-260-50 ***). Therefore in streams where the 7Q10 > 0 cfs, DO less than 4.0 mg/l taken at flows below 7Q10 are not water quality standard violations. However, in streams where the 7Q10 = 0 cfs, **ALL** DO data < 4.0 mg/l are standard violations, even if the flow = 0 cfs when the DO was taken.

The 7Q10 low flow for Winterpock Creek was calculated to be 0.00 cfs, therefore, no DO violations were removed from the data set due to low flow frequencies.

6.2 Slope and Appearance

The hydrologic slope from the 260 ft. topographic contour at rivermile 8.47 located 0.32 mi above Rt. 783 downstream to the 160 ft. topographic contour at rivermile 0.87 located 2.36 mi below Rt. 602 is estimated at 0.25%, which is considered very low slope. The upstream location comprises the approximate boundary of this low slope segment on mainstem Winterpock Creek. The downstream boundary of the low slope segment is the confluence with Lake Chesdin. The low slope in this 8.47 mile segment contributes no human impact.

However slope immediately upstream of this segment, from the headwaters of Winterpock Creek at the 310 ft contour at rivermile 9.07, located about 0.5 mi south Rt. 360, downstream to the 260 ft contour at rivermile 8.47, located 0.6 mi above Rt. 783, is estimated at 1.58%. This is more than six times the low slope in the downstream DO impaired segment, and above the Swampwater slope guideline of 0.50%.

Winterpock Creek from rivermile 8.47 downstream to rivermile 0.87 exhibits low slope (0.25%) and large areas of forested land with swamps and heavy tree canopy. Decomposition of the large inputs of decaying vegetation from areas of forested land with swamps and heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed lower DO as they decay. These are not considered anthropogenic impacts.

Visual inspections from bridges at Rts. 602, 664, and 655 revealed large swamp areas with heavy tree canopy. There are large inputs of decaying vegetation from areas of forested land with heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed, that lower DO as they decay. (Figures 22-25).

Figure 22. Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602.



Figure 23. Winterpock Creek at Rt. 664.



Figure 24. Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655.



Figure 25. Left bank swamp area at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 655.



6.3 *Instream Nutrients*

The VADEQ collected nutrient data from station 2-WPK003.23 from September 1994 to December 2003. The average nutrient concentrations are below the USGS (1999) national background nutrient concentrations in streams from undeveloped areas levels of nitrate < 0.6 mg/l; TN (TKN + NO₃ + NO₂) < 1.0 mg/l; and TP < 0.1 mg/l. These low nutrient levels are not indicative of human impact.

Parameter	Average Conc.	Number
Total Phosphorous	0.047 mg/l	(n=87)
Orthophosphorous	0.020 mg/l	(n=77)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.667 mg/l	(n=79)
Ammonia as N	0.052 mg/l	(n=76)
Nitrate as N	0.039 mg/l	(n=72)
Nitrite as N	0.010 mg/l	(n=72)
TN (TKN + NO₃ + NO₂)	0.717 mg/l	(n=78)

6.4 *Impact from Point Source Dischargers and Land Use*

There are three permitted dischargers in the Winterpock Creek watershed, as shown in Table 6.

Table 6. VPDES, VPA, VAG and VAR point source facilities in the Winterpock Creek watershed

Stream Name	Facility Name	VPDES Permit Number	Discharge Type ¹	Design Flow (MGD)	Permitted DO Limit
Winterpock Creek	Pollard Residences	VAG404207	Single family home (SFH)	<0.001	5.0
Winterpock Creek	Vulcan Construction materials Cashion Quarry	VAG840162	Industrial Minor	NL	NL
UT to Winterpock Creek	VDOT, Chesterfield Co.	VAR100222	Construction stormwater	NL	NL


NL = No Limit

The Pollard Residences, (N37°20'00", W77°55'00",) holds general permit VAG404207 for residential discharges. SFH sample DO once per year and keep records onsite. Vulcan Construction Materials Cashion Quarry, (N37°21' 9", W77°42'37"), permit VAG840162 for non-metallic mineral mining, is a new permit issued July 1, 2004. Vulcan has no DO limit. The Virginia Department of Transportation, Chesterfield (N37°19'28", W77°44'31") holds stormwater construction permit VAR100222, however there are no DO limits in construction stormwater permits. The Residential / Commercial land use (0.5%) probably has no DO effect on streams in the watershed. The watershed is predominately forested (88.0 percent).

6.5 Natural Seasonal DO Fluctuation

Winterpock Creek exhibits natural seasonal DO fluctuation due to the inverse relationship between water temperature and DO. DO is high in the winter months while water temperatures are low, and low in the summer months when water temperatures are high. This is depicted in Figure 26.

Figure 26. Seasonal Variation in DO at Winterpock Creek at Rt. 602, 2-WPK003.23, 1990 – 2003.



7.0 CONCLUSION

The following decision process is proposed for determining whether low DO values are due to natural conditions:

If slope is low (<0.50) AND

If wetlands are present along stream reach AND

If no point sources or point sources with minimal impact on DO AND

If nutrients are < typical background

❖ average (= assessment period mean) nitrate less than 0.6 mg/L

❖ average total nitrogen (TN) less than 1.0 mg/L, and

❖ average total phosphorus (TP) are less than 0.1 mg/L AND

If nearby streams without wetlands meet DO criteria,

THEN determine as impaired due to natural condition

→ assess as category 4C in next assessment

→ initiate WQS reclassification to Class VII Swamp Water

→ get credit under consent decree

Winterpock Creek exhibits low slope with significant swamplands, and large areas of forested land. These contribute large inputs of decaying vegetation, which lower DO as they decay. These are not considered anthropogenic impacts.

Winterpock Creek exhibits low nutrient concentrations below national background levels in streams from undeveloped areas, which not indicative of human impact.

There are three permitted dischargers in the Winterpock Creek watershed, which exert no significant DO impact because of very low or stormwater flow only. Residential / Commercial land use (0.5%) probably has no DO effect on the mainstem or tributary swampy areas.

Winterpock Creek exhibits natural seasonal DO fluctuation due to the inverse relationship between water temperature and DO. DO is high in the winter months while water temperatures are low, and low in the summer months when water temperatures are high.

Based on the above information for low DO, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwater due to natural conditions, rather than a TMDL, is indicated for mainstem Winterpock Creek from rivermile 6.42 at the confluence of the largest tributary between Rts. 655 and 664 and its unnamed tributaries downstream to the mouth, excluding Surline Branch and its tributaries, and unnamed tributary "XUB". **However, based on information for low pH in a separate document, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwater due to natural conditions, rather than a TMDL, is indicated for mainstem Winterpock Creek from rivermile 8.47 and its unnamed tributaries downstream to the mouth, excluding Surline Branch and its tributaries. The total miles classified as Swampwaters are 18.33 stream miles.**

8.0. Public Participation

DEQ performed the assessment of the Winterpock Creek low DO natural condition in lieu of a TMDL. Therefore neither a TMDL Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting nor a public meeting was involved. Public participation will occur during the next water quality standards triennial review process.

9.0 References

Maptech, Methodology for Assessing Natural Dissolved Oxygen and pH Impairments: Application to the Appomattox River Watershed, Virginia. 2003.

SRCC (Southeast Regional Climate Center)

http://www.dnr.state.sc.us/climate/sercc/products/historical/historical_va.html (Accessed 12/18/02)

USGS (United States Geological Survey), National Background Nutrient Concentrations in Streams from Undeveloped Areas. 1999.

VADEQ (Virginia Department of Environmental Quality), Virginia Water Quality Assessment 1998. Virginia. 1998.

VADEQ (Virginia Department of Environmental Quality), Virginia Water Quality Assessment 2002. Virginia. 2002.

Appendix A

Glossary

GLOSSARY

Note: All entries in italics are taken from USEPA (1998). All non-italicized entries are taken from MapTech (2002).

303(d). A section of the Clean Water Act of 1972 requiring states to identify and list water bodies that do not meet the states' water quality standards.

Ambient water quality. Natural concentration of water quality constituents prior to mixing of either point or nonpoint source load of contaminants. Reference ambient concentration is used to indicate the concentration of a chemical that will not cause adverse impact on human health.

Anthropogenic. Pertains to the [environmental] influence of human activities.

Background levels. Levels representing the chemical, physical, and Bacterial conditions that would result from natural geomorphological processes such as weathering or dissolution.

Best management practices (BMPs). Methods, measures, or practices determined to be reasonable and cost-effective means for a landowner to meet certain, generally nonpoint source, pollution control needs. BMPs include structural and nonstructural controls and operation and maintenance procedures.

Clean Water Act (CWA). The Clean Water Act (formerly referred to as the Federal Water Pollution Control Act or Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972), Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Law 96-483 and Public Law 97-117, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq. The Clean Water Act (CWA) contains a number of provisions to restore and maintain the quality of the nation's water resources. One of these provisions is section 303(d), which establishes the TMDL program.

Concentration. Amount of a substance or material in a given unit volume of solution; usually measured in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or parts per million (ppm).

Confluence. The point at which a river and its tributary flow together.

Contamination. The act of polluting or making impure; any indication of chemical, sediment, or Bacterial impurities.

Designated uses. Those uses specified in water quality standards for each waterbody or segment whether or not they are being attained.

Dilution. *The addition of some quantity of less-concentrated liquid (water) that results in a decrease in the original concentration.*

Direct runoff. *Water that flows over the ground surface or through the ground directly into streams, rivers, and lakes.*

Discharge. *Flow of surface water in a stream or canal, or the outflow of groundwater from a flowing artesian well, ditch, or spring. Can also apply to discharge of liquid effluent from a facility or to chemical emissions into the air through designated venting mechanisms.*

Discharge permits (under VPDES). *A permit issued by the U.S. EPA or a state regulatory agency that sets specific limits on the type and amount of pollutants that a municipality or industry can discharge to a receiving water; it also includes a compliance schedule for achieving those limits. The permit process was established under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, under provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act.*

Domestic wastewater. *Also called sanitary wastewater, consists of wastewater discharged from residences and from commercial, institutional, and similar facilities.*

Drainage basin. *A part of a land area enclosed by a topographic divide from which direct surface runoff from precipitation normally drains by gravity into a receiving water. Also referred to as a watershed, river basin, or hydrologic unit.*

Effluent. *Municipal sewage or industrial liquid waste (untreated, partially treated, or completely treated) that flows out of a treatment plant, septic system, pipe, etc.*

Effluent limitation. *Restrictions established by a state or EPA on quantities, rates, and concentrations in pollutant discharges.*

Existing use. *Use actually attained in the waterbody on or after November 28, 1975, whether or not it is included in the water quality standards (40 CFR 131.3).*

GIS. *Geographic Information System. A system of hardware, software, data, people, organizations and institutional arrangements for collecting, storing, analyzing and disseminating information about areas of the earth. (Dueker and Kjerne, 1989)*

Hydrologic cycle. *The circuit of water movement from the atmosphere to the earth and its return to the atmosphere through various stages or processes, such as precipitation, interception, runoff, infiltration, storage, evaporation, and transpiration.*

Hydrology. *The study of the distribution, properties, and effects of water on the earth's surface, in the soil and underlying rocks, and in the atmosphere.*

In situ. *In place; in situ measurements consist of measurements of components or processes in a full-scale system or a field, rather than in a laboratory.*

Margin of safety (MOS). *A required component of the TMDL that accounts for the uncertainty about the relationship between the pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving waterbody (CWA section 303(d)(1)(C)). The MOS is normally incorporated into the conservative assumptions used to develop TMDLs (generally within the calculations or models) and approved by EPA either individually or in state/EPA agreements. If the MOS needs to be larger than that which is allowed through the conservative assumptions, additional MOS can be added as a separate component of the TMDL (in this case, quantitatively, a TMDL = LC = WLA + LA + MOS).*

Mean. *The sum of the values in a data set divided by the number of values in the data set.*

MGD. *Million gallons per day. A unit of water flow, whether discharge or withdraw.*

Monitoring. *Periodic or continuous surveillance or testing to determine the level of compliance with statutory requirements and/or pollutant levels in various media or in humans, plants, and animals.*

Narrative criteria. *Nonquantitative guidelines that describe the desired water quality goals.*

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). *The national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and re-issuing, terminating, monitoring, and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements, under sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.*

Natural waters. *Flowing water within a physical system that has developed without human intervention, in which natural processes continue to take place.*

Non-point source. *Pollution that originates from multiple sources over a relatively large area. Nonpoint sources can be divided into source activities related to either land or water use including failing septic tanks, improper animal-keeping practices, forest practices, and urban and rural runoff.*

Numeric targets. *A measurable value determined for the pollutant of concern, which, if achieved, is expected to result in the attainment of water quality standards in the listed waterbody.*

Organic matter. *The organic fraction that includes plant and animal residue at various stages of decomposition, cells and tissues of soil organisms, and substances synthesized*

by the soil population. Commonly determined as the amount of organic material contained in a soil or water sample.

Peak runoff. The highest value of the stage or discharge attained by a flood or storm event; also referred to as flood peak or peak discharge.

Permit. An authorization, license, or equivalent control document issued by EPA or an approved federal, state, or local agency to implement the requirements of an environmental regulation; e.g., a permit to operate a wastewater treatment plant or to operate a facility that may generate harmful emissions.

Point source. Pollutant loads discharged at a specific location from pipes, outfalls, and conveyance channels from either municipal wastewater treatment plants or industrial waste treatment facilities. Point sources can also include pollutant loads contributed by tributaries to the main receiving water stream or river.

Pollutant. Dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, Bacterial materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste discharged into water. (CWA section 502(6)).

Pollution. Generally, the presence of matter or energy whose nature, location, or quantity produces undesired environmental effects. Under the Clean Water Act, for example, the term is defined as the man-made or man-induced alteration of the physical, Bacterial, chemical, and radiological integrity of water.

Public comment period. The time allowed for the public to express its views and concerns regarding action by EPA or states (e.g., a Federal Register notice of a proposed rule-making, a public notice of a draft permit, or a Notice of Intent to Deny).

Raw sewage. Untreated municipal sewage.

Receiving waters. Creeks, streams, rivers, lakes, estuaries, ground-water formations, or other bodies of water into which surface water and/or treated or untreated waste are discharged, either naturally or in man-made systems.

Restoration. Return of an ecosystem to a close approximation of its presumed condition prior to disturbance.

Riparian areas. Areas bordering streams, lakes, rivers, and other watercourses. These areas have high water tables and support plants that require saturated soils during all or part of the year. Riparian areas include both wetland and upland zones.

Riparian zone. *The border or banks of a stream. Although this term is sometimes used interchangeably with floodplain, the riparian zone is generally regarded as relatively narrow compared to a floodplain. The duration of flooding is generally much shorter, and the timing less predictable, in a riparian zone than in a river floodplain.*

Runoff. *That part of precipitation, snowmelt, or irrigation water that runs off the land into streams or other surface water. It can carry pollutants from the air and land into receiving waters.*

Slope. *The degree of inclination to the horizontal. Usually expressed as a ratio, such as 1:25 or 1 on 25, indicating one unit vertical rise in 25 units of horizontal distance, or in a decimal fraction (0.04), degrees (2 degrees 18 minutes), or percent (4 percent).*

Stakeholder. Any person with a vested interest in assessment of natural condition or TMDL development.

Standard. In reference to water quality (e.g. pH 6 – 9 SU limit).

Storm runoff. *Storm water runoff, snowmelt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage; rainfall that does not evaporate or infiltrate the ground because of impervious land surfaces or a soil infiltration rate lower than rainfall intensity, but instead flows onto adjacent land or into waterbodies or is routed into a drain or sewer system.*

Streamflow. *Discharge that occurs in a natural channel. Although the term "discharge" can be applied to the flow of a canal, the word "streamflow" uniquely describes the discharge in a surface stream course. The term "streamflow" is more general than "runoff" since streamflow may be applied to discharge whether or not it is affected by diversion or regulation.*

Stream restoration. *Various techniques used to replicate the hydrological, morphological, and ecological features that have been lost in a stream because of urbanization, farming, or other disturbance.*

Surface area. *The area of the surface of a waterbody; best measured by planimetry or the use of a geographic information system.*

Surface runoff. *Precipitation, snowmelt, or irrigation water in excess of what can infiltrate the soil surface and be stored in small surface depressions; a major transporter of nonpoint source pollutants.*

Surface water. *All water naturally open to the atmosphere (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, ponds, streams, impoundments, seas, estuaries, etc.) and all springs, wells, or other collectors directly influenced by surface water.*

Topography. *The physical features of a geographic surface area including relative elevations and the positions of natural and man-made features.*

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). *The sum of the individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and natural background, plus a margin of safety (MOS). TMDLs can be expressed in terms of mass per time, toxicity, or other appropriate measures that relate to a state's water quality standard.*

Tributary. *A lower order-stream compared to a receiving waterbody. "Tributary to" indicates the largest stream into which the reported stream or tributary flows.*

Variance. *A measure of the variability of a data set. The sum of the squared deviations (observation – mean) divided by (number of observations) – 1.*

DCR. Department of Conservation and Recreation.

DEQ. Virginia Department of Environmental Quality.

VDH. Virginia Department of Health.

Wastewater. *Usually refers to effluent from a sewage treatment plant. See also **Domestic wastewater**.*

Wastewater treatment. *Chemical, Bacterial, and mechanical procedures applied to an industrial or municipal discharge or to any other sources of contaminated water to remove, reduce, or neutralize contaminants.*

Water quality. *The Bacterial, chemical, and physical conditions of a waterbody. It is a measure of a waterbody's ability to support beneficial uses.*

Water quality criteria. *Elements of the board's water quality standards, expressed as constituent concentrations, levels, or narrative statements, representing a quality of water that supports a particular use. When criteria are met, water quality will generally protect the designated use.*

Water quality standard. *Provisions of state or federal law which consist of a designated use or uses for the waters of the Commonwealth and water quality criteria for such waters based upon such uses. Water quality standards are to protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the State Water Control Law (§ 62.1-44.2 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) and the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251 et seq.).*

Watershed. A drainage area or basin in which all land and water areas drain or flow toward a central collector such as a stream, river, or lake at a lower elevation.