

## LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

<u>Table No.</u>		
<b>A</b>	<b>Overview of assessment results.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Number of cumulative impairment listings.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>2-1</b>	<b>Virginia Water Resources Atlas.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>2-2</b>	<b>Virginia Statewide Land Use Summary.....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3.5-1</b>	<b>Citizen Monitoring Data Submitted: Level II and III.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>3.5-2</b>	<b>Non-Agency Data Submitted: Level II and Level III.....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>4.1-1</b>	<b>Summary of the designated use assessment methodology.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>4.2-1</b>	<b>Designated use parameters.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>4.2-2</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Rivers (statewide).....</b>	<b>54</b>
<b>4.2-3</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Significant Lakes/Reservoirs (statewide).....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.2-4</b>	<b>Assessment Results for Estuarine Waters (statewide).....</b>	<b>55</b>
<b>4.2-5</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size (statewide).....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>4.2-6</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size (statewide).....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>4.3-1</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>4.3-2</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>4.3-3</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>4.3-4</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>4.3-5</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.3-6</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>4.3-7</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>77</b>
<b>4.3-8</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>78</b>
<b>4.3-9</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>4.3-10</b>	<b>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>4.3-11</b>	<b>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</b>	<b>83</b>

4.3-12	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	83
4.3-13	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	87
4.3-14	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	87
4.3-15	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	90
4.3-16	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	90
4.3-17	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	93
4.3-18	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	93
4.4-1	<i>Dissolved oxygen results compared to Virginia’s water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	96
4.4-3	<i>pH results compared to Virginia’s water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	97
4.4-4	<i>Temperature results compared to Virginia’s water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	97
4.4-5	<i>Dissolved metals results compared to Virginia’s Water Quality Standards (ProbMon)....</i>	98
4.4-5	<i>Sediment metal results compared to Probable Effects Concentrations (ProbMon).....</i>	99
4.4-6	<i>VSCI/CPMI scores compared to Virginia’s assessment thresholds (ProbMon).....</i>	100
4.4-7	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for biological indicators.....</i>	101
4.4-8	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for stressor indicators.....</i>	102
4.5-1	<i>Numbers and Proportions of the Estuarine ProbMon Sites Occurring in Various Salinity Zones.....</i>	103
4.5-2	<i>Parameters Analyzed in the Water Column – Estuarine ProbMon Program.....</i>	115
4.5-3	<i>Chemical Parameters Analyzed in the Sediment – Estuarine ProbMon Program.....</i>	115
4.5-4	<i>National Coastal Condition Report Water Quality Indicators and Thresholds for the Northeast Coastal Region.....</i>	117
4.5-5	<i>NCCA Water Clarity Criteria Thresholds.....</i>	124
4.5-6	<i>Water Quality Index (WQI) Characterization.....</i>	126
4.5-7	<i>Thresholds for Determining the Water Quality Index (WQI) Rating by Region.....</i>	126
4.5-8	<i>Summary of the Statistical Distributions of Chronic Standard Quotients (CSq) for each Toxic Dissolved Trace Metal Evaluated .....</i>	131
4.5-9	<i>Effects Range Low and Effects Range Median Sediment Screening Values.....</i>	136
4.5-10	<i>NCCA Site Characterizations based upon the Simple Numbers of ERL and ERM Exceedences.....</i>	136
4.5-11	<i>NCCA Cut-off Points for Assessing Sediment Contaminants by Region.....</i>	136
4.5-12	<i>Characterization of the Sediment Contamination Results from 275 Site Visits.....</i>	136
4.5-13	<i>Ranges of Mean ERM Quotients and Associated Benthic Risk Levels for the Virginian Estuarine Province.....</i>	138
4.5-14	<i>PAHs measured in various sediment monitoring programs.....</i>	142
4.5-15	<i>Summary of Sample Results from the Calculation of Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks for PAH Mixtures.....</i>	143
4.5-16	<i>Scoring Guidelines for Characterizing Individual Sites based on the Sediment Quality Index.....</i>	145
4.5-17	<i>Site Suitability as a function of the Sediment Quality Index.....</i>	146
4.5-18	<i>Scoring Guidelines for Characterizing Regions based on the Sediment Quality Index....</i>	148

4.5-19	Benthic Community Condition as evaluated by Benthic Indices of Biotic Integrity.....	149
4.5-20	Guidelines for Regional Characterization based on Benthic Indices.....	149
4.5-21	Summary of Site Attributes and the Prevalence of “Poor” Characterizations among 273 Probabilistic Estuarine Sites.....	155
4.6-1	Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen criteria.....	166
4.6-2	Summary of Chesapeake Bay water clarity criteria for application to shallow-water SAV designated use habitats.....	167
4.6-3	Chlorophyll a criteria for application to open-water designated use habitats in the James River.....	168
4.6-4	Trend Analysis Results by Bay Segment .....	181
5-1	Land Use Classification.....	184
5-2	Statewide NPS Pollutant Loads – Post BMP Reduction.....	185
5-5	NPS BMP Pollutant Reductions and Costs.....	192
5-6	Acres Placed Under a Nutrient Management Plan and Estimated Nutrient Reductions, Calendar Years 2012 & 2013.....	193
6-1	Public Water Supply Systems and Population Served from Virginia’s Source Water Assessment and Protection Reporting.....	205
6-2	Primary Sources of Groundwater Contamination.....	206
6-3	Summary of State Groundwater Protection Programs.....	208
6-4	Groundwater Contamination Summary.....	209
6-5	Aquifer Monitoring Data.....	210
7.1-1	Inspection frequency by facility type.....	215
7.1-2	TMDLs Completed or Scheduled.....	219
7.2-1	Summary of Revolving Loan Fund Status.....	222
7.2-2	Number of BMPs funded by the AgBMP Loan Program.....	223
7.7-1	Wetland Monitoring and Assessment Program Elements.....	271
7.7-2	Long-term wetlands field assessment strategy for Virginia.....	272

**Figure No.**

<b>A</b>	<b>Attainment status of designated uses based on the number of 6<sup>th</sup> Order sub-watersheds assessed for those uses.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Common causes of designated use non-attainment.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Distribution of waters with causes that have been removed from the 303(d) Impaired Waters List.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Sub-watersheds containing TMDLs.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Map with sub-watersheds assessed since the 2002 report.....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.2-1	Designated use support summary (statewide).....	57
4.3-1	Designated Use support summary for Potomac-Shenandoah River basin.....	64
4.3-2	Designated Use support summary for the James River basin.....	68
4.3-3	Designated use support summary for the Rappahannock River basin.....	75
4.3-4	Designated use support summary for the Roanoke River basin.....	76
4.3-5	Designated use support summary for the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin.....	79
4.3-6	Designated use support summary for the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin.....	82
4.3-7	Designated use support summary for the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins.....	85
4.3-8	Designated use support summary for the York River basin.....	88

4.3-9	<i>Designated use support summary for the New River basin.....</i>	92
4.4-1	<i>Percentage of stream miles with water quality parameters exceeding criteria/ screening values.....</i>	94
4.4-2	<i>Virginia probabilistic monitoring locations from 2007-2012.....</i>	95
4.4-3	<i>Biological stream condition based on VSCI/VCPMI Scores.....</i>	101
4.4-4	<i>Estimate of habitat condition in Virginia streams and river.....</i>	102
4.4-5	<i>Estimate of streambed sedimentation conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	103
4.4-6	<i>Estimate of total nitrogen conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	104
4.4-7	<i>Estimate of total phosphorus conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	104
4.4-8	<i>Estimate of ionic strength conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	104
4.4-9	<i>Estimate of Cumulative Criterion Unit Metals Index in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	105
4.4-10	<i>Stressor extent for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	106
4.4-11	<i>Relative risk for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	107
4.5-1	<i>Geographic distribution of estuarine ProbMon sites.....</i>	114
4.5-2	<i>Geographic &amp; statistical distributions and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based upon near-surface chlorophyll-a values.....</i>	118
4.5-3	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen samples collected at estuarine sites.....</i>	120
4.5-4	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of probabilistic sites based upon near-surface dissolved Inorganic phosphorus.....</i>	121
4.5-5	<i>Geographic distribution and classification of probabilistic estuarine sites based upon near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations.....</i>	123
4.5-6	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on water clarity.....</i>	125
4.5-7	<i>Geographic distribution and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on the Water Quality Index.....</i>	127
4.5-8	<i>Geographic distributions and characterizations of probabilistic estuarine sites based on bacterial contamination.....</i>	129
4.5-10	<i>Geographic and statistical distributions of clean dissolved metals - Mean Chronic Standard Quotients.....</i>	132
4.5-11	<i>Sediment Suitability Based on Control-Corrected Survivorship Following Ten-day Static Acute Toxicity Tests.....</i>	135
4.5-12	<i>The geographic distribution and sediment contamination characterization of probabilistic estuarine sites.....</i>	139
4.5-13	<i>Statistical Distributions of Mean ERM Quotients.....</i>	140
4.5-14	<i>Geographic and Statistical Distributions and Site Characterizations Based on the Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmark Scores for PAH Mixtures in Sediment Samples from Probabilistic Estuarine Sites.....</i>	144
4.5-15	<i>Geographical and Statistical Distributions of Sites Based on Total Organic Carbon Concentrations in Sediment.....</i>	146
4.5-16	<i>Geographical Distribution and Characterization of Estuarine Probabilistic Sites based on the Sediment Quality Index.....</i>	147
4.5-17	<i>Geographical and Statistical Distributions of Estuarine Probabilistic Sites based on their Benthic IBI Scores.....</i>	150
4.5-18	<i>Geographic Distribution of probabilistic estuarine sites based on their Integrated Site Scores.....</i>	152
4.5-19	<i>The geographical distribution of 273 Probabilistic Estuarine Sites based upon Weight-of-Evidence aquatic life use assessments using the Sediment Quality Triad.....</i>	154
4.5-20	<i>Relative Prevalence of Observed Stressors and Measures of Observed Effects.....</i>	155
4.6-1	<i>Impairment status of the Bay aquatic life use.....</i>	162

4.6-2	<i>Conceptualized illustration of location of the five Chesapeake Bay tidal water designated use zones.....</i>	163
4.6-3	<i>Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen and water clarity assessment segmentation.....</i>	169
4.6-4	<i>Attainment of the Open-Water designated use (dissolved oxygen criteria).....</i>	172
4.6-5	<i>Attainment of the Open-Water designated use (chlorophyll criteria).....</i>	173
4.6-6	<i>Attainment of the Deep-Water and Deep-Channel designated uses.....</i>	174
4.6-7	<i>Attainment of the Shallow Water SAV designated use.....</i>	175
4.6-8	<i>Estuarine benthic biological assessment.....</i>	177
4.6-9	<i>Assessment categories for Bay aquatic life subuses, as areal percentages.....</i>	179
7.7-1	<i>Wetlands and open-water impacts and compensation.....</i>	273
7.7-2	<i>Stream bed impacts and compensation.....</i>	270
7.7-3	<i>GIS-based wetland data viewer .....</i>	273