

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

<u>Table No.</u>		
A	Overview of assessment results.....	iii
2-1	Virginia Water Resources Atlas.....	8
2-2	Virginia Statewide Land Use Summary.....	9
3.5-1	Citizen Monitoring Data Submitted: Level II and III.....	36
3.5-2	Non-Agency Data Submitted: Level II and Level III.....	42
4.1-1	Summary of the designated use assessment methodology.....	50
4.2-1	Designated use parameters.....	54
4.2-2	Assessment Results for Rivers (statewide).....	56
4.2-3	Assessment Results for Significant Lakes/Reservoirs (statewide).....	57
4.2-4	Assessment Results for Estuarine Waters (statewide).....	57
4.2-5	Significant causes of designated use impairment, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size (statewide).....	61
4.2-6	Suspected sources of designated use impairment, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size (statewide).....	61
4.3-1	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	65
4.3-2	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Potomac-Shenandoah River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	65
4.3-3	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	69
4.3-4	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the James River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	69
4.3-5	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	73
4.3-6	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Rappahannock River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	73
4.3-7	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	75
4.3-8	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Roanoke River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	75
4.3-9	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	78
4.3-10	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	79
4.3-11	Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	81
4.3-12	Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....	81

4.3-13	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	85
4.3-14	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	85
4.3-15	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	88
4.3-16	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the York River basin, by water body type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	88
4.3-17	<i>Significant causes of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	91
4.3-18	<i>Suspected sources of designated use impairment in the New River basin, by waterbody type, ranked by percentage of impaired water size.....</i>	91
4.4-1	<i>Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen criteria.....</i>	97
4.4-2	<i>Summary of Chesapeake Bay water clarity criteria for application to shallow-water SAV designated use habitats.....</i>	99
4.4-3	<i>Chlorophyll a criteria for application to open-water designated use habitats in the James River.....</i>	100
4.4-4	<i>Outcome scenarios from benthic biological assessment.....</i>	110
4.4-5a	<i>Estuarine Benthic Analysis.....</i>	112
4.4-5b	<i>Segment ID's and corresponding waterbody name.....</i>	113
4.4-6	<i>2012 Chesapeake Bay and Tributaries aquatic life use status for oxygen, water clarity, chlorophyll and benthic impairments.....</i>	116
4.4-7	<i>Chesapeake Bay impairment causes by impacted area.....</i>	117
4.4-8	<i>Data assessment results and assessment determination by CBP segment and designated use.....</i>	117
4.7-1	<i>Dissolved oxygen results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	176
4.7-2	<i>pH results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	177
4.7-3	<i>Temperature results compared to Virginia's water quality standard (ProbMon).....</i>	177
4.7-4	<i>Dissolved metals results compared to Virginia's Water Quality Standards (ProbMon).....</i>	178
4.7-5	<i>Sediment metal results compared to Probable Effects Concentrations (ProbMon).....</i>	179
4.7-6	<i>Sediment organics results compared to Probable Effects Concentrations (ProbMon).....</i>	180
4.7-7	<i>VSCI/CPMI scores compared to Virginia's assessment thresholds (ProbMon).....</i>	181
4.7-8	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for biological indicators.....</i>	185
4.7-9	<i>Thresholds of condition classes for stressor indicators.....</i>	185
4.8-1	<i>The results of near-surface clean dissolved metals analyses at 50 probabilistic near-shore oceanic sites.....</i>	210
4.8-2	<i>Near-surface PAH analytes, Virginia water quality standards, and EPA Water Quality PAH Benchmarks for Aquatic Life.....</i>	214
4.8-3	<i>Relative risk to benthic taxonomic richness in response to ranges in Total Organic Carbon (TOC) content of marine sediment.....</i>	216
4.8-4	<i>Summary of Effects Range Median and Effects Range Low sediment screening value comparisons for individual metals among the 50 near-score oceanic sites.....</i>	216

4.8-5	<i>Summary of Effects Range Median and Effects Range Low sediment screening value comparisons for individual and categorical organic compounds among the 50 near-score oceanic sites.....</i>	217
4.8-6	<i>Relative risk of benthic degradation in response to ranges of mean Effects Range Median quotients among 50 probabilistic near-shore sites.....</i>	218
4.8-7	<i>Threshold values for scoring individual benthic metrics and classifying marine benthic samples.....</i>	225
4.8-8	<i>Distributions of the 14 individual metrics and of the final site benthic scores among the 50 near-shore sites.....</i>	228
4.8-9	<i>Score distributions of the three estuarine benthic indices and of the near-shore Site Benthic Score among the 50 near-shore oceanic sites.....</i>	229
4.8-10	<i>Levels of relative risk, threshold criteria, and proportions of the study area affected by stressors for which marine water quality standards and/or published threshold criteria are available.....</i>	235
4.8-11	<i>Sixth-order frontal sub-watersheds between Chincoteague Inlet and Wachapreague Inlet on the Delmarva coast.....</i>	237
4.8-12	<i>Sixth-order estuarine sub-watersheds between Wachapreague Inlet and Smith Island on the Delmarva coast.....</i>	239
5-1	<i>Land Use Classification (NPS assessment).....</i>	247
5-2	<i>Statewide NPS Pollutant Loads – Post BMP Reduction.....</i>	248
5-3	<i>Nonpoint Source Pollution Assessment and Prioritization Rankings by Hydrologic Unit (external link)</i>	
5-4a	<i>List of Virginia Non-Shellfish NPS TMDL Projects through 2010 (external link)</i>	
5-4b	<i>NPS TMDL Implementation Plans through 2010 (external link)</i>	
5-5	<i>NPS BMP Pollutant Reductions and Costs, Program Years 2008 & 2009 1 July 2007 through 30 June 2009.....</i>	257
6-1	<i>Public Water Supply Systems and Population Served from Virginia’s Source Water Assessment and Protection Reporting.....</i>	268
6-2	<i>Primary Sources of Ground Water Contamination.....</i>	269
6-3	<i>Summary of State Ground Water Protection Programs.....</i>	271
6-4	<i>Ground Water Contamination Summary.....</i>	272
6-5	<i>Aquifer Monitoring Data.....</i>	273
7.1-1	<i>Inspection frequency by facility type.....</i>	278
7.1-2	<i>TMDL Consent Decree (CD) Segments.....</i>	281
7.1-3	<i>TMDLs Completed or Scheduled.....</i>	281
7.2-1	<i>Summary of Revolving Loan Fund Status.....</i>	284
7.2-2	<i>Number of BMPs funded by the AgBMP Loan Program.....</i>	285
7.7-1	<i>VWP Permitted Wetland Impacts & Associated Compensation.....</i>	328
7.7-2	<i>Calendar days allowed for certain permitting activities.....</i>	334
7.7-3	<i>Wetland Monitoring and Assessment Program Elements.....</i>	344
7.7-4	<i>Long-term wetlands field assessment strategy for Virginia.....</i>	345
<u>Figure No.</u>		
A	<i>Map with sub-watersheds assessed for the 2012 Integrated Report.....</i>	ii

B	<i>Attainment status of designated uses based on the number of 6th Order sub-watersheds assessed for those uses.....</i>	<i>iii</i>
C	<i>Common causes of designated use impairment.....</i>	<i>iv</i>
D	<i>Distribution of waters with causes that have been removed from the 303(d) Impaired Waters List.....</i>	<i>v</i>
E	<i>Map of watershed-scale trend analysis on bacteria concentrations from 1991 to 2010....</i>	<i>vi</i>
F	<i>Sub-watersheds containing TMDLs.....</i>	<i>vii</i>
1	<i>Map with sub-watersheds assessed since the 2002 report.....</i>	<i>5</i>
4.2-1	<i>Designated use support summary (statewide).....</i>	<i>59</i>
4.3-1	<i>Designated Use support summary for Potomac-Shenandoah River basin.....</i>	<i>63</i>
4.3-2	<i>Designated Use support summary for the James River basin.....</i>	<i>67</i>
4.3-3	<i>Designated use support summary for the Rappahannock River basin.....</i>	<i>71</i>
4.3-4	<i>Designated use support summary for the Roanoke River basin.....</i>	<i>74</i>
4.3-5	<i>Designated use support summary for the Chowan River-Dismal Swamp basin.....</i>	<i>77</i>
4.3-6	<i>Designated use support summary for the Tennessee-Big Sandy River basin.....</i>	<i>80</i>
4.3-7	<i>Designated use support summary for the Chesapeake Bay/Atlantic Ocean and small coastal basins.....</i>	<i>83</i>
4.3-8	<i>Designated use support summary for the York River basin.....</i>	<i>86</i>
4.3-9	<i>Designated use support summary for the New River basin.....</i>	<i>87</i>
4.4-1	<i>Impairment status of the Bay aquatic life use.....</i>	<i>93</i>
4.4-2	<i>Conceptualized illustration of location of the five Chesapeake Bay tidal water designated use zones.....</i>	<i>96</i>
4.4-3	<i>Monitoring stations in the Chesapeake Bay used in the 2012 assessment of dissolved oxygen.....</i>	<i>98</i>
4.4-4	<i>Chesapeake Bay dissolved oxygen and water clarity assessment segmentation.....</i>	<i>101</i>
4.4-5	<i>Attainment of the open-water designated use (dissolved oxygen criteria) in 2012.....</i>	<i>104</i>
4.4-6	<i>Attainment of the open-water designated use (chlorophyll criteria) in 2012.....</i>	<i>105</i>
4.4-7	<i>Attainment of the deep-water and deep-channel designated use (dissolved oxygen criteria) in 2012.....</i>	<i>106</i>
4.4-8	<i>Attainment of the SWSAV designated use (SAV acres and water clarity acres criteria) in 2012.....</i>	<i>107</i>
4.4-9	<i>Estuarine Benthic Bioassessment Protocol.....</i>	<i>109</i>
4.4-10	<i>2012 Estuarine Benthic Biological Assessment.....</i>	<i>114</i>
4.5-1	<i>Trend and gage stations.....</i>	<i>128</i>
4.5-2	<i>WQ3 Modified Seasonal Kendall Graphic Output.....</i>	<i>129</i>
4.5-3	<i>Virginia Precipitation.....</i>	<i>130</i>
4.5-4	<i>North River Flow at River Mile 14.08, Shenandoah Basin.....</i>	<i>131</i>
4.5-5	<i>Trend Map: Bacteria, Flow-Adjusted.....</i>	<i>132</i>
4.5-6	<i>Trend Map: Nitrogen, Flow-Adjusted.....</i>	<i>133</i>
4.5-7	<i>Trend Map: Phosphorus, Flow-Adjusted.....</i>	<i>134</i>
4.5-8	<i>Trend Map: Total Suspended Solids, Flow-Adjusted.....</i>	<i>135</i>
4.5-9	<i>Total Nitrogen Trend.....</i>	<i>137</i>

4.5-10	<i>Total Phosphorus Trend.....</i>	138
4.5-11	<i>Estuarine Trend Map: Bacteria.....</i>	139
4.5-12	<i>Estuarine Trend Map: Nitrogen.....</i>	140
4.5-13	<i>Estuarine Trend Map: Phosphorus.....</i>	141
4.5-14	<i>Estuarine Trend Map: Total Suspended Solids.....</i>	142
4.5-15	<i>Rivers Trend Map: Bacteria.....</i>	143
4.5-16	<i>Rivers Trend Map: Nitrogen.....</i>	144
4.5-17	<i>Rivers Trend Map: Phosphorus.....</i>	145
4.5-18	<i>Opequon Creek Rt. 655 Bridge, Frederick County, 1AOPE036.13.....</i>	146
4.5-19	<i>Rivers Trend Map: Total Suspended Solids.....</i>	147
4.5-20	<i>WQ3 Flow vs. Year.....</i>	148
4.5-21	<i>Nitrogen vs. Flow.....</i>	149
4.5-22	<i>Nitrogen Load vs. Flow.....</i>	150
4.5-23	<i>Nitrogen Concentration, Flow-Adjusted.....</i>	151
4.5-24	<i>Nitrogen Trend in Loading.....</i>	152
4.5-25	<i>Annual Trends in Nitrogen, lbs yr⁻¹.....</i>	153
4.5-26	<i>Annual Trends in Phosphorus, lbs yr⁻¹.....</i>	154
4.5-27	<i>Annual Trends in Total Suspended Solids, lbs yr⁻¹.....</i>	155
4.5-28	<i>Normal probabiliy plot of surface water temperature.....</i>	156
4.5-29	<i>Histogram of stream surface water temperatures.....</i>	157
4.5-30	<i>Histogram of reservoir surface water temperatures.....</i>	158
4.5-31	<i>Histogram of estuarine surface water temperatures.....</i>	159
4.6-1	<i>Bacteria Water Quality Trends, North Landing River.....</i>	162
4.6-2	<i>IWQ Trends for Bacteria in Rivers and Streams.....</i>	164
4.6-3	<i>Bacteria Declining Water Quality, Lower James River.....</i>	165
4.6-4	<i>Nitrogen Trends in Water Quality for Streams and Rivers.....</i>	166
4.6-5	<i>Phosphorus Trends in Water Quality for Streams and Rivers.....</i>	168
4.6-6	<i>Phosphorus Declining Water Quality, Upper Chickahominy River-Lower James.....</i>	169
4.6-7	<i>Suspended Sediment Trends in Water Quality for Streams and Rivers.....</i>	170
4.6-8	<i>Suspended Solids Declining Water Quality, Upper Chickahominy River-Lower James....</i>	171
4.6-9	<i>VADEQ Stations Used in the IWQ Analysis.....</i>	172
4.7-1	<i>Percentage of stream miles with water quality parameters exceeding criteria/screening values.....</i>	174
4.7-2	<i>Virginia probabilistic monitoring locations from 2005-2010.....</i>	175
4.7-3	<i>Biological stream condition based on VSCI/CPMI Scores.....</i>	181
4.7-4	<i>Estimate of streambed sedimentation conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	182
4.7-5	<i>Estimate of habitat condition in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	182
4.7-6	<i>Estimate of total nitrogen conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	183
4.7-7	<i>Estimate of total phosphorus conditions in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	183

4.7-8	<i>Estimate of ionic strength conditions in Virginia Streams and Rivers.....</i>	183
4.7-9	<i>Estimate of Cumulative Criterion Unit Metals Index in Virginia streams and rivers.....</i>	184
4.7-10	<i>Stressor extent and relative risk for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	186
4.7-11	<i>Relative Risk for major benthic macroinvertebrate stressors in Virginia streams.....</i>	186
4.8-1	<i>EPA's 224 foot Oceanic Survey Vessel, the OSV Bold.....</i>	191
4.8-2	<i>Final geographic distribution of the 50 probabilistic sites sampled during DEQ's 2010 near-shore oceanic survey.....</i>	193
4.8-3	<i>Temperature and salinity gradients without distinct thermo- or pycnoclines among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	194
4.8-4	<i>Geographic distribution of surface temperature and temperature differentials among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	195
4.8-5	<i>Geographic distribution of surface salinity and salinity differentials among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	195
4.8-6	<i>Geographic distribution of bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	196
4.8-7	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of dissolved inorganic nitrogen among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	198
4.8-8	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of dissolved inorganic phosphorus among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	200
4.8-9	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of molecular TN/TP ratios among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	201
4.8-10	<i>Comparison of near-surface chlorophyll concentrations among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	202
4.8-11	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of chlorophyll-a concentrations among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	203
4.8-12	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of turbidity concentrations among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	205
4.8-13	<i>Numerical distribution of near-bottom dissolved oxygen concentrations.....</i>	206
4.8-14	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of the Water Quality Index scores among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	207
4.8-15	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of Enterococcus colony forming unit counts among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	209
4.8-16	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of near-surface dissolved copper concentrations among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	211
4.8-17	<i>Relative near-surface concentrations of dissolved metals in relation to the north-south latitudinal gradient, near surface salinity, and discharge from the mouth of Chesapeake Bay.....</i>	213
4.8-18	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of percent sand in sediment among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	215
4.8-19	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of expected median Equilibrium Partitioning Sediment Benchmarks values for mixtures of 34 PAHs in sediment among the 50 probabilistic near-shore sites.....</i>	219
4.8-20	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of mean ERM Quotient values for mixtures of metallic and organic contaminants in sediment among the 50 probabilistic near-shore sites.....</i>	220
4.8-21	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of control-corrected survivorship in ten-day, static acute sediment toxicity tests with <i>Leptocheirus plumulosus</i> among the 50 probabilistic near-shore sites.....</i>	222

4.8-22	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of the Sediment Quality Index scores among the 50 probabilistic near-shore sites.....</i>	224
4.8-23	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of Site Benthic Scores among the 50 near-shore oceanic sites.....</i>	230
4.8-24	<i>Numerical and geographical distributions of Integrated Site Scores among the 50 near-shore oceanic sites.....</i>	232
4.8-25	<i>Geographic distribution of Weight-of-Evidence assessment results of the designated (benthic) aquatic life use among the 50 near-shore probabilistic sites.....</i>	233
4.8-26	<i>Percentages of the study area exposed to identified stressors and their relative levels of risk to the Aquatic Life Designated Use (ALU).....</i>	236
7.7-1	<i>Number of joint permit applications received and number of permits issued (per year 2001-2010).....</i>	333
7.7-2	<i>Cumulative number of VWPP permits Issued from 2001 to 2009 for each county in Virginia.....</i>	334
7.7-3	<i>Average number of days to issue Virginia Water Protection Permits. Lines indicate time allowances for permit actions.....</i>	335
7.7-4	<i>Cumulative total wetland and open water impacts for counties in Virginia (2001-2009)....</i>	336
7.7-5	<i>Cumulative total stream impacts for counties in Virginia (2001-2009).....</i>	337
7.7-6	<i>Surface water impacts and compensation (per year 2001-2010).....</i>	338
7.7-7	<i>Stream impacts and compensation (per year 2001-2010).....</i>	338
7.7-8	<i>Number of mitigation banks approved per year and total number of mitigation banks approved.....</i>	339
7.7-9	<i>USGS hydrologic units color coded to represent cumulative number of mitigation bank servicing the watershed with dots showing mitigation bank locations.....</i>	340
7.7-10	<i>Compliance activity tracked since the initiation of the EPA compliance grant.....</i>	341
7.7-11	<i>Resolutions for deficiencies identified from 22 inspections conducted December 1, 2007 to December 31, 2009.....</i>	342
7.7-12	<i>GIS-based Wetland data viewer.....</i>	347
7.7-13	<i>GIS-based Wetland data viewer.....</i>	348