Natural Conditions Assessment for Low pH and Dissolved Oxygen

Goldenvale Creek

Caroline County, Virginia

Submitted by

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

December 19, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary iv
1. Introduction 1
2. Physical Setting 2
2.1. Listed Water Bodies 2
2.2. Watershed 3
2.2.1. General Description 3
2.2.2. Geology, Land Use, Climate 3
3. Description of Water Quality Problem/Impairment 7
3.1. Associated Mainstem and Tributary site pH and DO 9
4. Water Quality Standard 10
4.1. Designated Uses 10
4.2. Applicable Water Quality Criteria 10
5. Methodology for Natural Conditions Assessment 11
6. Natural Conditions Assessment for Goldenvale Creek 12
6.1. 7Q10 Low Flow Screening 12
6.2. Slope and Appearance 12
6.3. Instream Nutrients 15
6.4. Natural Seasonal DO Fluctuation 16
6.5. Impact from Point Sources and Land Use 17
6.6. Human Impact from Acid Deposition 17
7. Conclusions 19
8. Public Participation 20
9. References 21
Appendix A A1
Appendix B B1
Appendix C C1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Soil Types in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed 3

Table 2. Climate Summary for Corbin, Virginia (442009). Period of Record : 1/ 1/1959 to 4/30/2012 6

Table 3. Applicable Water Quality Standards 10

Table 4. Nutrient Data for Station 3-GLL001.98 (2005 – 2011).....16

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of the Goldenvale Creek Study Area 2

Figure 2. Soil Types in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed 5

Figure 3. Land Use in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed 6

Figure 4: pH Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 2008, 2010, and 2012 Assessment Windows 7

Figure 5: pH Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 2014 Assessment Window 8

Figure 6. DO Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 20008, 2010, and 2012 Assessment Windows 9

Figure 7. Goldenvale Creek, upstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing.....13

Figure 8. Goldenvale Creek, upstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing. Flat, swampy areas surrounding stream channel.....14

Figure 9. Goldenvale Creek, downstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing 15

Figure 10. Aerial photography of the Goldenvale Creek watershed showing meandering, braided channels and swampy areas.....16

Figure 11. Seasonal Variation in DO at Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98).....17

Executive Summary

A portion of Goldenvale Creek was first listed as impaired for not meeting the aquatic life use based on the pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) parameters in the 2008 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report. Goldenvale Creek is located in Caroline County in the Rappahannock River watershed. The impaired segment, VAN-E21R_GLL01A08, extends for 4.89 rivermiles, from the confluence with Doctor Branch downstream until the start of the tidal waters, near the confluence with the Rappahannock River. Monitoring for this segment occurred at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) monitoring station 3-GLL001.98, which is located at the Route 17 bridge crossing.

Sampling data from the 2008 Assessment Period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2006) showed that 4 of 8 pH samples (50%) and 2 of 8 dissolved oxygen samples (25%) were below the acceptable criteria for pH and dissolved oxygen for Class III waters as established in 9 VAC 25-260-50 of the Virginia Water Quality Standards. Goldenvale Creek remained listed as impaired for pH and DO in the 2010 and draft 2012 Integrated Assessments.

Since Goldenvale Creek is listed on the Impaired Waters list, a TMDL study, initiated to identify and address the source(s) of the pH and dissolved oxygen impairments, is required. However, the dissolved oxygen and pH excursions may be attributable to natural conditions, as this segment is in a low-lying Southeastern Plains environment with no riffles and slow moving pools. Segments like this are often subject to naturally occurring low dissolved oxygen and pH. The purpose of this study is to assess whether or not the low pH and DO values in the Goldenvale Creek watershed are caused by natural conditions. If this is the case, no TMDL study will be required and the stream will be reclassified to Class VII (swamp waters).

In an effort to determine if Goldenvale Creek can be reclassified as Class VII (Swamp Waters), this document follows the guidance established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality's Procedure for Natural Condition Assessment of Low pH and Low DO in Virginia Streams, prepared in October 2004 and submitted to the EPA on November 30, 2004.

The level of acidity as registered by pH in a water body is determined by a balance between organic acids produced by decay of vegetative material, and buffering capacity. Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low pH include slow-moving, ripple-less waters or wetlands where the decay of organic matter produces organic acids. These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems. The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below.

Step 1. Determine slope and appearance.
Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.
Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).
Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

The slope of Goldenvale Creek from its headwaters to the confluence with the Rappahannock River is 0.32%, which is considered a low slope. There are large inputs of decaying vegetation into Goldenvale Creek from the adjacent swampy areas and other areas of forested land with heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed. This decaying vegetation produces acids which in turn can lead to lower pH and dissolved oxygen values. Goldenvale Creek also exhibits low nutrient concentrations below national background levels in streams from undeveloped areas. These levels of nutrients are not indicative of human impact. The seasonal fluctuation in DO is typical of an undisturbed watershed.

There are no VPDES permits in the Goldenvale Creek watershed. The vast majority of the watershed is forested. There is not a close correlation between precipitation amounts and field pH at DEQ ambient water quality monitoring stations. The only discernable pattern has been a general negative correlation of precipitation to pH and the majority of r-values were well below 0.5, which does not indicate a close correlation between the variables. However, the extent to which stream pH is decreased by acid deposition cannot be conclusively determined.

Based on the above information, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwaters due to natural conditions, rather than a TMDL, is recommended for Goldenvale Creek, from its headwaters to the start of tidal waters, near the confluence with the Rappahannock River.

DEQ performed the assessment of Goldenvale Creek low pH and dissolved oxygen natural conditions in lieu of a TMDL. Therefore neither a TMDL Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting nor a public meeting was involved. However, since the majority of the Goldenvale Creek watershed is located on the Fort A.P. Hill property, representatives from Fort A.P. Hill were notified of the study and allowed to comment on the report prior to submission. Fort A.P. Hill concurred with the findings of this report. Additional public participation will occur during the next water quality standards triennial review process.

1. Introduction

A portion of Goldenvale Creek was first listed as impaired for not meeting the aquatic life use based on the pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) parameters in the 2008 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report. Goldenvale Creek is located in Caroline County in the Rappahannock River watershed. The impaired segment, VAN-E21R_GLL01A08, extends for 4.89 rivermiles, from the confluence with Doctor Branch downstream until the start of the tidal waters, near the confluence with the Rappahannock River. Monitoring for this segment occurred at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) monitoring station 3-GLL001.98, which is located at the Route 17 bridge crossing.

Sampling data from the 2008 Assessment Period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2006) showed that 4 of 8 pH samples (50%) and 2 of 8 dissolved oxygen samples (25%) were below the acceptable criteria for pH and dissolved oxygen for Class III waters as established in 9 VAC 25-260-50 of the Virginia Water Quality Standards. Goldenvale Creek remained listed as impaired for pH and DO in the 2010 and draft 2012 Integrated Assessments.

Since Goldenvale Creek is listed on the Impaired Waters list, a TMDL study, initiated to identify and address the source(s) of the pH and dissolved oxygen impairments, is required. However, the dissolved oxygen and pH excursions may be attributable to natural conditions as this segment is in a low-lying Southeastern Plains environment with no riffles and slow moving pools that are subject to low dissolved oxygen and pH. The purpose of this study is to assess whether or not the low pH and DO values are caused by natural conditions. If this is the case, no TMDL study will be required and the stream will be reclassified to Class VII (swamp waters).

2. Physical Setting

2.1. Listed Water Bodies

Goldenvale Creek is located in Caroline County, Virginia in the Rappahannock River Basin (USGS Hydrologic Unit Code 02080104). The stream is located in watershed VAN-E21R. The pH and DO impairments are listed in the 2008 Integrated Assessments with cause group codes E21R-08-PH and E21R-08-DO, respectively. According to the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD), which is based on the content of the USGS 1:24,000-scale data, there are approximately 44.8 total stream miles in the Goldenvale Creek watershed. The impaired segment, VAN-E21R_GLL01A08, begins at the confluence with Doctor Branch and continues downstream until the start of the tidal waters, near the confluence with the Rappahannock River. Monitoring for this segment occurred at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) monitoring station 3-GLL001.98, which is located at the Route 17 bridge crossing.



Figure 1. Map of the Goldenvale Creek Study Area.

2.2. Watershed

2.2.1. General Description

Goldenvale Creek, along with its named and unnamed tributaries, flows through Caroline County, Virginia. It is approximately 11.4 miles long and flows northeast from its headwaters to its confluence with the tidal freshwater portion of the Rappahannock River. The watershed has an area of approximately 16.7 square miles. There are no gaging stations on Goldenvale Creek or any of its tributaries. The majority of the Goldenvale Creek watershed is located on the Fort A.P. Hill military installation.

2.2.2. Geology, Land Use, Climate

Geology and Soils

Goldenvale Creek is located in the Southeastern Plains ecoregion. As described by EPA, the Southeastern Plains ecoregion consists of irregular plains that have a "mosaic of cropland, pasture, woodland, and forest. Natural vegetation was predominantly longleaf pine, with smaller areas of oak-hickory-pine and Southern mixed forest. The Cretaceous or Tertiary-age sands, silts, and clays of the region contrast geologically with the older metamorphic and igneous rocks of the Piedmont (45), and with the Paleozoic limestone, chert, and shale found in the Interior Plateau (71). Elevations and relief are greater than in the Southern Coastal Plain (75), but generally less than in much of the Piedmont. Streams in this area are relatively low-gradient and sandy-bottomed." (EPA Ecoregion Descriptions, July 2010).

Soil types in the Goldenvale Creek watershed (**Table 1**) were derived using spatial and tabular data available through the Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) database for Caroline County, Virginia. This information is provided by the Natural Resources Conservation Service of the United States Department of Agriculture. The SSURGO database identified sixteen soil types present in the Goldenvale Creek watershed, each of which are summarized below. **Figure 2** shows the location of these soil types in the watershed.

Table 1. Soil Types in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed.

Soil Type	Soil Description	Percent of Watershed
1A	Altavista fine sandy loam (0-2% slope), very rarely flooded	0.9%
1B	Altavista fine sandy loam (2-6% slope), very rarely flooded	2.2%
4A	Bibb-Chastain complex (0-2% slopes), frequently flooded	3.6%
5B	Bojac sandy loam (0-6% slopes), very rarely flooded	1.8%
7A	Chastain silt loamn (0-2% slopes), ponded	1.1%
10E	Kempsville-Emporia-Remlik complex (15-50% slopes)	38.9%
11B	Kempsville-Emporia complex (2 - 6 slopes)	17.2%
11C	Kempsville-Emporia complex (6-10 % slopes)	11.4%
13E	Nevarc sandy loam (15-50% slopes)	10.0%
15A	Rappahannock muck (0-1% slopes), frequently flooded	0.1%
21C	Slagle-Kempsville complex (2-15% slopes)	5.1%
22B	Slagle fine sandy loam (2-6% slopes)	0.2%
23C	State fine sandy loam (6-10% slopes), very rarely flooded	0.4%
28A	Wedhadkee silt loam (0-2% slopes), frequently flooded	0.1%
29A	Wickham fine sandy loam (0-2% slopes), very rarely flooded	2.4%
29B	Wickham fine sandy loam (2-6% slopes), very rarely flooded	3.6%
W	Water	1.2%

Altavista - Altavista is a nearly level to gently sloping, very deep, moderately well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is fine sandy loam about 14 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderate. It has a high available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is very rarely flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 24 inches. This soil is not hydric.

Bibb - Bibb is a nearly level to gently sloping, very deep, poorly drained soil. Typically the surface layer is sandy loam about 6 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderate content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderate. It has a moderate available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is frequently flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 6 inches. This soil is hydric.

Bojac - Bojac is a nearly level to moderately sloping, very deep, well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is sandy loam about 8 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderately rapid. It has a low available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is very rarely flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 60 inches. This soil is not hydric.

Chastain - Chastain is a nearly level to gently sloping, very deep, poorly drained soil. Typically the surface layer is loam about 5 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderate content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is slow. It has a low available water capacity and a moderate shrink swell potential. This soil is frequently flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 6 inches. This soil is hydric.

Emporia - Emporia is a nearly level to very steep, very deep, well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is fine sandy loam about 17 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is very slow. It has a moderate available water capacity and a moderate shrink swell potential. This soil is not flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 45 inches. This soil is not hydric.

Kempsville - Kempsville is a nearly level to very steep, very deep, well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is sandy loam about 7 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderate. It has a moderate available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is not flooded and is not ponded. The seasonal high water table is at a depth of more than 6 feet. This soil is not hydric.

Nevarc - Nevarc is a moderately sloping to very steep, very deep, moderately well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is sandy loam about 9 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is very slow. It has a moderate available water capacity and a moderate shrink swell potential. This soil is not flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 27 inches. This soil is not hydric.

Remlik - Remlik is a moderately sloping to very steep, very deep, well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is loamy sand about 6 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderate. It has a moderate available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is not flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 60 inches. This soil is not hydric.

Slagle - Slagle is a nearly level to moderately steep, very deep, moderately well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is fine sandy loam about 12 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is very slow. It has a moderate available water capacity and a moderate shrink swell potential. This soil is not flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 60 inches. This soil is not hydric.

State - State is a nearly level to moderately sloping, very deep, well drained soil. Typically the surface layer is fine sandy loam about 17 inches thick. The surface layer has a moderately low content of organic matter. The slowest permeability is moderate. It has a moderate available water capacity and a low shrink swell potential. This soil is very rarely flooded and is not ponded. The top of the seasonal high water table is at 60 inches. This soil is not hydric.



Figure 2. Soil Types in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed.

Land Use

The Goldenvale Creek watershed is approximately 16.7 mi^2 in size and is predominately forested, with nearly eighty percent (77.4%) of the land use attributed to deciduous, evergreen, and mixed forests. Roughly 9% of the watershed is devoted to forest harvesting, with another 5% engaged in the production of crops. The remaining portions of the Goldenvale Creek watershed are shared by a variety of land use alternatives, which are displayed in **Figure 3**. Land use coverage information was obtained from a 2005 Virginia Department of Forestry land use GIS layer.



Figure 3. Land Use in the Goldenvale Creek Watershed.

Climate

The climate summary for Goldenvale Creek comes from a weather station located in Corbin, Virginia, with a period of record from 1/1/1959 to 4/30/20012. The Corbin weather station is approximately 6.5 miles east of Goldenvale Creek. The average annual maximum temperature at the weather station is 66.7°F and the average minimum temperature is 45.0°F. The average annual rainfall for the Corbin Station is 42.92 inches (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Climate Summary for Corbin, Virginia (442009). Period of Record : 1/ 1/1959 to 4/30/2012

	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Annual
Average Max. Temperature (F)	44.0	47.3	56.4	67.1	75.3	83.0	87.1	85.8	79.3	68.8	58.9	47.4	66.7
Average Min. Temperature (F)	24.2	26.5	34.2	43.3	52.3	61.2	65.7	64.7	57.4	45.4	36.7	28.0	45.0
Average Total Precipitation (in.)	3.11	2.95	3.95	3.11	3.86	3.79	3.99	3.67	4.06	3.64	3.36	3.43	42.92

3. Description of Water Quality Problem/Impairment

A portion of Goldenvale Creek was first listed as impaired for not meeting the aquatic life use based on the pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) parameters in the 2008 305(b)/303(d) Integrated Report. The impaired segment, VAN-E21R_GLL01A08, begins at the confluence with Doctor Branch and continues downstream until the start of the tidal waters, near the confluence with the Rappahannock River. Monitoring for this segment occurred at the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) monitoring station 3-GLL001.98, which is located at the Route 17 bridge crossing.

Sampling data from the 2008 Assessment Period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2006) showed that 4 of 8 pH samples (50%) and 2 of 8 dissolved oxygen samples (25%) were below the acceptable criteria for pH and dissolved oxygen for Class III waters as established in 9 VAC 25-260-50 of the Virginia Water Quality Standards. Goldenvale Creek remained listed as impaired for pH and DO in the 2010 and draft 2012 Integrated Assessments. **Figure 4** below shows pH data for Goldenvale Creek from 2005 and 2006 (this data was used in the 2008, 2010, and Draft 2012 Assessments). **Figure 5** shows pH data for Goldenvale Creek that was collected in 2011 (this data will be used in the 2014 Assessment). 8 of 10 pH samples collected in 2011 were below the minimum pH criterion of 6.0 S.U.

The horizontal line at the 6.0 SU mark represents the minimum water quality criterion for pH in Class III waters. The data points below the 6.0 SU line illustrate excursions from the water quality criterion.



Figure 4: pH Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 2008, 2010, and 2012 Assessment Windows.



Figure 5: pH Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 2014 Assessment Window.

Out of all 18 pH values collected on Goldenvale Creek at Station 3-GLL001.98, 12 were below the minimum criterion (6.0 S.U.) for pH. This equates to a 67% exceedance rate.

In addition to pH exceedances, excursions from the dissolved oxygen criterion were also observed. Sampling data from the 2008 Assessment Period (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2006) showed that 2 of 8 dissolved oxygen samples (25%) were below the minimum criteria for dissolved oxygen. **Figure 6** below shows DO data for Goldenvale Creek from 2005 and 2006 (this data was used in the 2008, 2010, and Draft 2012 Assessments).

The horizontal line at the 4.0 mg/L mark represents the minimum water quality criterion for dissolved oxygen. The data points below 4.0 mg/L are excursions from the water quality criterion.



Figure 6. DO Values for Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98) during the 20008, 2010, and 2012 Assessment Windows.

3.1 Associated Mainstem and Tributary Site pH

All water quality monitoring in the Goldenvale Creek watershed occurred at station 3-GLL001.98, located at the Route 17 bridge crossing. It was difficult to obtain access to any other parts of the watershed because the majority of the Goldenvale Creek watershed is located on the Fort A.P. Hill property. Other nearby tributaries to the tidal freshwater Rappahannock, such as Ware Creek and Mount Creek, also exhibit similar characteristics as Goldenvale Creek (i.e. low slope, exceedances of the pH and DO minimum criteria). Each creek is currently undergoing a Natural Conditions Assessment.

4. Water Quality Standard

According to Virginia Water Quality Standards (9 VAC 25-260-5), the term *"water quality standards means provisions of state or federal law which consist of a designated use or uses for the waters of the Commonwealth and water quality criteria for such waters based upon such uses. Water quality standards are to protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the State Water Control Law (§62.1-44.2 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) and the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC §1251 et seq.)."*

As stated above, Virginia water quality standards consist of a designated use or uses and a water quality criteria. These two parts of the applicable water quality standard are presented in the sections that follow.

4.1. Designated Uses

According to Virginia Water Quality Standards (9 VAC 25-260-10A), *"All state waters are designated for the following uses: recreational uses (e.g., swimming and boating); the propagation and growth of a balanced indigenous population of aquatic life, including game fish, which might be reasonably expected to inhabit them; wildlife; and the production of edible and marketable natural resources (e.g., fish and shellfish)."*

As stated above, Goldenvale Creek must support all designated uses by meeting all applicable criteria. Goldenvale Creek has been assessed as not supporting the aquatic life use due to excursions of the pH and DO criteria that are designed to protect aquatic life in Class III waters.

4.2. Applicable Water Quality Criteria

The Class III water quality criteria for pH are a minimum pH of 6.0 SU and a maximum pH of 9.0 SU (**Table 4**). The minimum criterion for dissolved oxygen (DO) in Class III waters is 4.0 mg/L.

Table 3. Applicable Water Quality Standards.

Parameter	Minimum Criterion	Maximum Criterion
pH (S.U.)	6.0	9.0
DO (mg/L)	4.0	N/A

If the waterbody exceeds the criteria listed above in more than 10.5 percent of samples, the waterbody is classified as impaired and a TMDL must be developed and implemented to bring the waterbody into compliance with the water quality criteria. However, in the case of Goldenvale Creek there is reason to believe that the waterbody has been mis-classified and that the apparent impairment is due to the swampy nature of the stream. In this document, VADEQ applies a proposed methodology for determining if pH and DO impairments in free-flowing streams are due to natural conditions, thus allowing Goldenvale Creek to be reclassified as Class VII (Swamp Waters). This methodology is based on the VADEQ's Procedure for Natural Condition Assessment of Low pH and Low DO in Virginia Streams, prepared in October 2004 and submitted to the EPA on November 30, 2004.

5. Methodology for Natural Conditions Assessment

The level of acidity as registered by pH in a water body is determined by a balance between organic acids produced by decay of vegetative material, and buffering capacity. Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low pH include slow-moving, ripple-less waters or wetlands where the decay of organic matter produces organic acids. These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems. The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below.

Step 1. Determine slope and appearance.

Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.

Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).

Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

The results from this methodology will be used to determine if the stream should be re-classified as Class VII Swamp Waters. The methodology is listed in Appendix A of this report.

6. Natural Conditions Assessment for Goldenvale Creek

6.1 7Q10 Low Flow Screening

The 7Q10 flow of a stream is the lowest streamflow for seven consecutive days that occurs on average once every ten years. The first step for low flow 7Q10 screening is to determine the most accurate 7Q10 available. There are no flow gaging stations on Goldenvale Creek; however there is one on the Rappahannock River near Fredericksburg, VA (Station 01668000) with a drainage area of 1,595 mi^2. The 7Q10 flow for the Rappahannock River gage near Fredericksburg is 48.0 cfs.

The pH Instantaneous Water Quality criteria apply **AT and above** 7Q10 flow, but **NOT** below 7Q10 flow (9 VAC 25-260-50). Therefore in streams where the 7Q10 > 0 cfs, pH less than 6.0 taken at flows below 7Q10 are not water quality standard excursions. However, in streams where the 7Q10 = 0 cfs, **ALL** pH data < pH 6.0 SU are standard exceedances, even if the flow = 0 cfs when the pH was taken.

No exceedances of pH or DO occurred on Goldenvale Creek on days where the flow at station 01668000 was below 7Q10. Therefore, in using this station as a surrogate for flow in Goldenvale Creek, all pH and DO values are considered in this assessment.

6.2 Slope and Appearance

The slope of Goldenvale Creek was determined using DeLorme's 3-D TopoQuads software. The hydrologic slope was measured from the outlet of Lake Travis downstream to the confluence with the Rappahannock River. The estimated slope is 0.32%, which is considered low slope. As the land elevation is 142 feet at the outlet of Lake Travis and 0 feet at the confluence with the Rapphannock River, and the segment is 8.34 miles in length, with 5280 feet in a mile, the slope value was derived using the following calculation:

[142 ft – 0 ft) / (8.34 miles x 5280 ft)] x 100 = ***0.32%***

Visual inspections from the bridge over Route 17 revealed large swampy areas and frequent side channels connected to the mainstem of Goldenvale Creek. There are large inputs of decaying vegetation into Goldenvale Creek from the adjacent swampy areas and other areas of forested land with heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed. This decaying vegetation produces acids which in turn can lead to lower pH values. **Figures 7, 8, and 9** illustrate the swamp-like conditions found in the Goldenvale Creek. In addition, it should be noted that the most recent USGS topographical maps and NHD GIS (National Hydrography Dataset GIS) layer show many swampy areas along the Goldenvale Creek stream corridor. Since there are very limited public access points to survey the Goldenvale Creek watershed, an aerial photography survey was conducted. **Figure 10** shows four aerial photography images of Goldenvale Creek that illustrate the meandering, braided channel of Goldenvale Creek and the many swampy areas adjacent to the creek. The images (1 – 4) included in Figure 10 are as follows:

1 – Goldenvale Creek upstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing.
2 – Goldenvale Creek downstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing.
3 – Goldenvale Creek at Rivermile 4.1
4 – Goldenvale Creek at Rivermile 6.9

Figure 7. Goldenvale Creek, upstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing.

Figure 8. Goldenvale Creek, upstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing. Flat, swampy areas surrounding stream channel.

Figure 9. Goldenvale Creek, downstream from the Route 17 bridge crossing.

Figure 10. Aerial photography of the Goldenvale Creek watershed showing meandering, braided channels and swampy areas.

6.3 Instream Nutrients

The VADEQ has collected nutrient data at station 3-GLL001.98 for the purpose of this report, and reviewed the results of previous samples taken at this station. The average nutrient concentrations in Goldenvale Creek are below the national background nutrient concentrations for streams in undeveloped areas, as described by the USGS in 1999. The national background levels are: Total Nitrogen (TN) (TKN + NO_3 + NO_2) < 1.0 mg/L; and Total Phosphorus (TP) < 0.1 mg/L. These low nutrient levels are not indicative of human impact (**Table 4**).

Table 4. Nutrient Data for Station 3-GLL001.98 (2005 – 2011)

Parameter	Average Concentration	Number of Samples
Total Phosphorus	0.098 mg / L	18
Total Nitrogen	0.603 mg / L	18

6.4 Natural Seasonal DO Fluctuation

Goldenvale Creek exhibits natural seasonal DO fluctuation due to the inverse relationship between water temperature and DO. DO is high in the winter months while water temperatures are low, and low in the summer months when water temperatures are high. Figure 12 shows the month when the samples were collected and the dissolved oxygen value collected at each month that was sampled. For graphing purposes, the year the samples were collected was removed, and just the month was used to chart the DO fluctuation.



Figure 11. Seasonal Variation in DO at Goldenvale Creek at Route 17 (Station 3-GLL001.98).

6.5 Impact from Point Source Dischargers and Land Use

There are no Virginia Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (VPDES) Permits in the Goldenvale Creek watershed.

6.6 Human Impact from Acid Deposition

Acid deposition is expected to occur in the Goldenvale Creek watershed, however rainfall pH data are difficult to collect and do not exist near Goldenvale Creek. The closest available rainfall pH data come from the National Atmospheric Deposition Program / NTN station in Charlottesville, VA. Acid deposition occurred in the Charlottesville dataset, with weekly rainfall pH during the period from 1990 to 2003 averaging 4.35 SU (SD = 0.277, n = 428), with a minimum of 3.43 SU and maximum of 5.29 SU. According to the EPA, the natural pH of rain is about 5.5.

One method to assess whether acid deposition adversely impacts low pH in a waterbody is to compare daily precipitation data from the Virginia State Climatology Office to DEQ ambient water quality monitoring field pH data. During the DEQ water quality standards triennial review in 2003, DEQ filtered daily rainfall data for 1996 - 2003 according to water sample collection dates at DEQ ambient water quality monitoring stations that were within an approximate 15-mile radius of precipitation monitoring stations.

Precipitation amounts and field pH values were graphed together and correlation factors calculated. The only discernable pattern was a general negative correlation of precipitation to pH and the majority of r-values were well below 0.5, which does not indicate a close correlation between the variables. This comparison is described in correspondence to USEPA Region III dated October 14, 2003 in Appendix C.

However the extent to which stream pH is decreased by acid deposition in Virginia cannot be decisively established. Significant human impact from acid deposition is inconclusive.

7.0 Conclusion

The slope of Goldenvale Creek is 0.32%, which is considered a low slope. There are large inputs of decaying vegetation into Goldenvale Creek from the adjacent swampy areas and other areas of forested land with heavy tree canopy throughout the watershed. This decaying vegetation produces acids which in turn can lead to lower pH and dissolved oxygen values. Goldenvale Creek also exhibits low nutrient concentrations below national background levels in streams from undeveloped areas. These levels of nutrients are not indicative of human impact.

There are no VPDES permits in the Goldenvale Creek watershed. The vast majority of the watershed is forested and most likely has no pH effect on streams in the watershed.

There is not a close correlation between precipitation amounts and field pH at DEQ ambient water quality monitoring stations. The only discernable pattern has been a general negative correlation of precipitation to pH and the majority of r-values were well below 0.5, which does not indicate a close correlation between the variables. However, the extent to which stream pH is decreased by acid deposition cannot be conclusively determined.

Based on the above information, a change in the water quality standards classification to Class VII Swampwater due to natural conditions, rather than a TMDL, is recommended for Goldenvale Creek, from its headwaters to its confluence with the Rappahannock River.

8.0. Public Participation

DEQ performed the assessment of Goldenvale Creek low pH and dissolved oxygen natural conditions in lieu of a TMDL. Therefore neither a TMDL Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting nor a public meeting was involved. However, since the majority of the Goldenvale Creek watershed is located on the Fort A.P. Hill property, representatives from Fort A.P. Hill were notified of the study and allowed to comment on the report prior to submission. Fort A.P. Hill concurred with the findings of this report. Additional public participation will occur during the next water quality standards triennial review process.

9.0 References

DeLorme. 3-D TopQuads, Virginia & District of Columbia- Regions 2 and 5. 1999.

National Atmospheric Deposition Program. http://nadp.sws.uiuc.edu/sites/siteinfo.asp?net=NTN&id=VA00. Accessed: May 25, 2006.

Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. https://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/Survey.aspx?County=VA033. Accessed: December 18, 2012.

Southeast Regional Climate Center. http://www.sercc.com/climateinfo/historical/historical_va.html. Accessed: November 5, 2012.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Level III and IV Ecoregions of the Continental United States http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/ecoregions/level_iii_iv.htm. July, 2010.

United States Geological Survey. National Background Nutrient Concentrations in Streams from Undeveloped Areas. 1999.

United States Geological Survey, National Hydrography Dataset. http://nhd.usgs.gov. Accessed: November 5, 2012.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. Natural Streams Assessment for the Mattaponi River. November 2005.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. Procedure for Natural Condition Assessment of low pH and low DO in Virginia Streams. 2004.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. Virginia 305(b)/303(d) Water Quality Integrated Report. 2008.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. Virginia 305(b)/303(d) Water Quality Integrated Report. 2010.

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. Virginia 305(b)/303(d) Water Quality Integrated Report (Draft). 2012.

Virginia State Water Control Board. 9 VAC 25-260 Virginia Water Quality Standards. January 6, 2011.

Appendix A

PROCEDURE FOR NATURAL CONDITION ASSESSMENT OF LOW PH AND LOW DO IN VIRGINIA STREAMS

Procedure for Natural Condition Assessment of low pH and low DO in Virginia Streams

Prepared by Virginia Department of Environmental Quality
October 2004

I. Introduction

Virginia's list of impaired waters currently shows many waters as not supporting the aquatic life use due to exceedances of pH and/or DO criteria that are designed to protect aquatic life in Class III waters. However, there is reason to believe that most of these streams or stream segments have been mis-classified and should more appropriately be classified as Class VII, Swamp Waters. This document presents a procedure for assessing if natural conditions are the cause of the low pH and/or low DO levels in a given stream or stream segment.

The level of dissolved oxygen (DO) in a water body is determined by a balance between oxygen-depleting processes (*e.g.*, decomposition and respiration) and oxygen-restoring processes (*e.g.*, aeration and photosynthesis). Certain natural conditions promote a situation where oxygen-restoring processes are not sufficient to overcome the oxygen- depleting processes. The level of acidity as registered by pH in a water body is determined by a balance between organic acids produced by decay of vegetative material, and buffering capacity.

Conditions in a stream that would typically be associated with naturally low DO and/or naturally low pH include slow-moving, ripple-less waters. In such waters, the decay of organic matter depletes DO at a faster rate than it can be replenished and produces organic acids (tannins, humic and fulvic substances). These situations can be compounded by anthropogenic activities that contribute excessive nutrients or readily available organic matter to these systems.

The general approach to determine if DO and pH impairments in streams are due to natural conditions is to assess a series of water quality and hydrologic criteria to determine the likelihood of an anthropogenic source. A logical 4-step process for identifying natural conditions that result in low DO and/or pH levels and for determining the likelihood of anthropogenic impacts that will exacerbate the natural condition is described below. DEQ staff is proposing to use this approach to implement State Water Control Law 9 VAC 25-260-55, Implementation Procedure for Dissolved Oxygen Criteria in Waters Naturally Low in Dissolved Oxygen.

Waters that are shown to have naturally low DO and pH levels will be re-classified as Class VII, Swamp Waters, with the associated pH criterion of 4.3 to 9.0 SU. An associated DO criterion is currently being developed from swamp water data. A TMDL is not needed for these waters. An assessment category of 4C will be assigned until the waterbody has been re-classified.

II. Natural Condition Assessment

Following a description of the watershed (including geology, soils, climate, and land use), a description of the DO and/or pH water quality problem (including a data summary, time series and monthly data distributions), and a description of the water quality criteria that were the basis for the impairment determination, the available information should be evaluated in four steps.

<u>Step 1. Determine appearance and flow/slope.</u>

Streams or stream segments that have naturally low DO (< 4 mg/L) and low pH (< 6 SU) are characterized by very low slopes and low velocity flows (flat water with low reaeration rates). Decaying vegetation in such swampy

waters provides large inputs of plant material that consumes oxygen as it decays. The decaying vegetation in a swamp water also produces acids and decreases pH. Plant materials contain polyphenols such as tannin and lignin. Polyphenols and partially degraded polyphenols build up in the form of tannic acids, humic acids, and fulvic acids that are highly colored. The trees of swamps have higher polyphenolic content than the soft-stemmed vegetation of marshes. Swamp streams (blackwater) are therefore more highly colored and more acidic than marsh streams.

Appearance and flow velocity (or slope if flow velocity is not available) must be identified for each stream or stream segment to be assessed for natural conditions and potential re-classification as a Class VII swamp water. This can be done through maps, photos, field measurements or other appropriate means.

Step 2. Determine nutrient levels.

Excessive nutrients can cause a decrease in DO in relatively slow moving systems, where aeration is low. High nutrient levels are an indication of anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen, phosphorus, and possibly organic matter. Nutrient input can stimulate plant growth, and the resulting die-off and decay of excessive plankton or macrophytes can decrease DO levels.

USGS (1999) estimated national background nutrient concentrations in streams and groundwater from undeveloped areas. Average nitrate background concentrations are less than 0.6 mg/L for streams, average total nitrogen (TN) background concentrations are less than 1.0 mg/L, and average background concentrations of total phosphorus (TP) are less than 0.1 mg/L.

Nutrient levels must be documented for each stream or stream segment to be assessed for natural conditions and potential re-classification as a Class VII swamp water. Streams with average concentrations of nutrients greater than the national background concentrations should be further evaluated for potential impacts from anthropogenic sources.

Step 3. Determine degree of seasonal fluctuation (for DO only).

Anthropogenic impacts on DO will likely disrupt the typical seasonal fluctuation seen in the DO concentrations of wetland streams. Seasonal analyses should be conducted for each potential Class VII stream or stream segment to verify that DO is depressed in the summer months and recovers during the winter, as would be expected in natural systems. A weak seasonal pattern could indicate that human inputs from point or nonpoint sources are impacting the seasonal cycle.

Step 4. Determine anthropogenic impacts.

Every effort should be made to identify human impacts that could exacerbate the naturally low DO and/or pH. For example, point sources should be identified and DMR data analyzed to determine if there is any impact on the stream DO or pH concentrations. Land use analysis can also be a valuable tool for identifying potential human impacts.
Lastly, a discussion of acid rain impacts should be included for low pH waters. The format of this discussion can be based either on the process used for the recent Class VII classification of several streams in the Blackwater watershed of the Chowan Basin (letter from DEQ to EPA, 14 October 2003). An alternative is a prototype regional stream comparison developed for Fourmile Creek, White Oak Swamp, Matadequin Creek and Mechumps Creek (all east of the fall line). The example analysis under IV in this document, or the example report prepared for Fourmile Creek, illustrate this approach. For streams west of the fall line, a regional stream comparison for 2004 analyses encompasses Occupacia, Winticomack, and Skinquarter Creeks.

7Q10 Data Screen

If the data warrant it, a data screen should be performed to ensure that the impairment was identified based on valid data. All DO or pH data that violate water quality standards should be screened for flows less than the 7Q10. Data collected on days when flow was < 7Q10 should be eliminated from the data set and the violation rate recalculated accordingly. Only those waters with violation rates determined days with flows > or = 7Q10 flows should be classified as impaired.

In some cases, data were collected when flow was 0 cfs. If the 7Q10 is identified as 0 cfs as well, all data collected under 0 cfs flow would need to be considered in the water quality assessment. In those cases, the impairment should be classified as 4C, Impaired due to natural conditions, no TMDL needed. However, a reclassification to Class VII may not always be appropriate.

III. Natural Condition Conclusion Matrix

The following decision process should be applied for determining whether low pH and/or low DO values are due to natural conditions and justify a reclassification of a stream or stream segment as Class VII, Swamp Water.

If velocity is low or if slope is low (<0.50%) AND
If wetlands are present along stream reach AND
If no point sources or only point sources with minimal impact on DO and pH AND
If nutrients are < typical background

- average (= assessment period mean) nitrate less than 0.6 mg/L
- average total nitrogen (TN) less than 1.0 mg/L, and
- average total phosphorus (TP) are less than 0.1 mg/L AND

For DO: If seasonal fluctuation is normal AND
For pH: If nearby streams without wetlands meet pH criteria OR if no correlation between in-stream pH and rain pH,

THEN determine as impaired due to natural condition
→ assess as category 4C in next assessment
→ initiate WQS reclassification to Class VII Swamp Water
→ get credit under consent decree

The analysis must state the extent of the natural condition based on the criteria outlined above. A map showing land use, point sources, water quality stations and, if necessary, the delineated segment to be classified as swamp water should be included.

In cases where not all of these criteria apply, a case by case argument must be made based on the specific conditions in the watershed.

Appendix B

GLOSSARY

303(d). A section of the Clean Water Act of 1972 requiring states to identify and list water bodies that do not meet the states' water quality standards.

Ambient water quality. Natural concentration of water quality constituents prior to mixing of either point or nonpoint source load of contaminants. Reference ambient concentration is used to indicate the concentration of a chemical that will not cause adverse impact on human health.

Anthropogenic. Pertains to the [environmental] influence of human activities.

Background levels. Levels representing the chemical, physical, and Bacterial conditions that would result from natural geomorphological processes such as weathering or dissolution.

Best management practices (BMPs). Methods, measures, or practices determined to be reasonable and cost-effective means for a landowner to meet certain, generally non-point source, pollution control needs. BMPs include structural and nonstructural controls and operation and maintenance procedures.

Clean Water Act (CWA). The Clean Water Act (formerly referred to as the Federal Water Pollution Control Act or Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972), Public Law 92-500, as amended by Public Law 96-483 and Public Law 97-117, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq. The Clean Water Act (CWA) contains a number of provisions to restore and maintain the quality of the nation's water resources. One of these provisions is section 303(d), which establishes the TMDL program.

Concentration. Amount of a substance or material in a given unit volume of solution; usually measured in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or parts per million (ppm).

Confluence. The point at which a river and its tributary flow together.

Contamination. The act of polluting or making impure; any indication of chemical, sediment, or Bacterial impurities.

Designated uses. Those uses specified in water quality standards for each waterbody or segment whether or not they are being attained.

Dilution. The addition of some quantity of less-concentrated liquid (water) that results in a decrease in the original concentration.

Direct runoff. Water that flows over the ground surface or through the ground directly into streams, rivers, and lakes.

Discharge. Flow of surface water in a stream or canal, or the outflow of groundwater from a flowing artesian well, ditch, or spring. Can also apply to discharge of liquid effluent from a facility or to chemical emissions into the air through designated venting mechanisms.

Discharge permits (under VPDES). A permit issued by the U.S. EPA or a state regulatory agency that sets specific limits on the type and amount of pollutants that a municipality or industry can discharge to a receiving water; it also includes a compliance schedule for achieving those limits. The permit process was established under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, under provisions of the Federal Clean Water Act.

Domestic wastewater. Also called sanitary wastewater, consists of wastewater discharged from residences and from commercial, institutional, and similar facilities.

Drainage basin. A part of a land area enclosed by a topographic divide from which direct surface runoff from precipitation normally drains by gravity into a receiving water. Also referred to as a watershed, river basin, or hydrologic unit.

Effluent. Municipal sewage or industrial liquid waste (untreated, partially treated, or completely treated) that flows out of a treatment plant, septic system, pipe, etc.
Effluent limitation. Restrictions established by a state or EPA on quantities, rates, and concentrations in pollutant discharges.

Existing use. Use actually attained in the waterbody on or after November 28, 1975, whether or not it is included in the water quality standards (40 CFR 131.3).

GIS. Geographic Information System. A system of hardware, software, data, people, organizations and institutional arrangements for collecting, storing, analyzing and disseminating information about areas of the earth. (Dueker and Kjerne, 1989)

Hydrologic cycle. The circuit of water movement from the atmosphere to the earth and its return to the atmosphere through various stages or processes, such as precipitation, interception, runoff, infiltration, storage, evaporation, and transpiration.

Hydrology. The study of the distribution, properties, and effects of water on the earth's surface, in the soil and underlying rocks, and in the atmosphere.

In situ. In place; in situ measurements consist of measurements of components or processes in a full-scale system or a field, rather than in a laboratory.

Margin of safety (MOS). A required component of the TMDL that accounts for the uncertainty about the relationship between the pollutant loads and the quality of the receiving waterbody (CWA section 303(d)(1)(C)). The MOS is normally incorporated into the conservative assumptions used to develop TMDLs (generally within the calculations or models) and approved by EPA either individually or in state/EPA agreements. If the MOS needs to be larger than that which is allowed through the conservative assumptions, additional MOS can be added as a separate component of the TMDL (in this case, quantitatively, a TMDL = LC = WLA + LA + MOS).

Mean. The sum of the values in a data set divided by the number of values in the data set.

MGD. Million gallons per day. A unit of water flow, whether discharge or withdraw.

Monitoring. Periodic or continuous surveillance or testing to determine the level of compliance with statutory requirements and/or pollutant levels in various media or in humans, plants, and animals.

Narrative criteria. Nonquantitative guidelines that describe the desired water quality goals.

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). The national program for issuing, modifying, revoking and re-issuing, terminating, monitoring, and enforcing permits, and imposing and enforcing pretreatment requirements, under sections 307, 402, 318, and 405 of the Clean Water Act.

Natural waters. Flowing water within a physical system that has developed without human intervention, in which natural processes continue to take place.

Non-point source. Pollution that originates from multiple sources over a relatively large area. Nonpoint sources can be divided into source activities related to either land or water use including failing septic tanks, improper animal-keeping practices, forest practices, and urban and rural runoff.

Numeric targets. A measurable value determined for the pollutant of concern, which, if achieved, is expected to result in the attainment of water quality standards in the listed waterbody.

Organic matter. The organic fraction that includes plant and animal residue at various stages of decomposition, cells and tissues of soil organisms, and substances synthesized by the soil population. Commonly determined as the amount of organic material contained in a soil or water sample.

Peak runoff. The highest value of the stage or discharge attained by a flood or storm event; also referred to as flood peak or peak discharge.
Permit. An authorization, license, or equivalent control document issued by EPA or an approved federal, state, or local agency to implement the requirements of an environmental regulation; e.g., a permit to operate a wastewater treatment plant or to operate a facility that may generate harmful emissions.

Point source. Pollutant loads discharged at a specific location from pipes, outfalls, and conveyance channels from either municipal wastewater treatment plants or industrial waste treatment facilities. Point sources can also include pollutant loads contributed by tributaries to the main receiving water stream or river.

Pollutant. Dredged spoil, solid waste, incinerator residue, sewage, garbage, sewage sludge, munitions, chemical wastes, Bacterial materials, radioactive materials, heat, wrecked or discarded equipment, rock, sand, cellar dirt, and industrial, municipal, and agricultural waste discharged into water. (CWA section 502(6)).

Pollution. Generally, the presence of matter or energy whose nature, location, or quantity produces undesired environmental effects. Under the Clean Water Act, for example, the term is defined as the man-made or man-induced alteration of the physical, Bacterial, chemical, and radiological integrity of water.

Public comment period. The time allowed for the public to express its views and concerns regarding action by EPA or states (e.g., a Federal Register notice of a proposed rule-making, a public notice of a draft permit, or a Notice of Intent to Deny).

Raw sewage. Untreated municipal sewage.

Receiving waters. Creeks, streams, rivers, lakes, estuaries, ground-water formations, or other bodies of water into which surface water and/or treated or untreated waste are discharged, either naturally or in man-made systems.

Restoration. Return of an ecosystem to a close approximation of its presumed condition prior to disturbance.

Riparian areas. Areas bordering streams, lakes, rivers, and other watercourses. These areas have high water tables and support plants that require saturated soils during all or part of the year. Riparian areas include both wetland and upland zones.

Riparian zone. The border or banks of a stream. Although this term is sometimes used interchangeably with floodplain, the riparian zone is generally regarded as relatively narrow compared to a floodplain. The duration of flooding is generally much shorter, and the timing less predictable, in a riparian zone than in a river floodplain.

Runoff. That part of precipitation, snowmelt, or irrigation water that runs off the land into streams or other surface water. It can carry pollutants from the air and land into receiving waters.

Slope. The degree of inclination to the horizontal. Usually expressed as a ratio, such as 1:25 or 1 on 25, indicating one unit vertical rise in 25 units of horizontal distance, or in a decimal fraction (0.04), degrees (2 degrees 18 minutes), or percent (4 percent).

Stakeholder. Any person with a vested interest in assessment of natural condition or TMDL development.

Standard. In reference to water quality (e.g. pH 6 – 9 SU limit).

Storm runoff. Storm water runoff, snowmelt runoff, and surface runoff and drainage; rainfall that does not evaporate or infiltrate the ground because of impervious land surfaces or a soil infiltration rate lower than rainfall intensity, but instead flows onto adjacent land or into waterbodies or is routed into a drain or sewer system.

Streamflow. Discharge that occurs in a natural channel. Although the term "discharge" can be applied to the flow of a canal, the word "streamflow" uniquely describes the discharge in a surface stream course. The term "streamflow" is more general than "runoff" since streamflow may be applied to discharge whether or not it is affected by diversion or regulation.

Stream restoration. Various techniques used to replicate the hydrological, morphological, and ecological features that have been lost in a stream because of urbanization, farming, or other disturbance.

Surface area. The area of the surface of a waterbody; best measured by planimetry or the use of a geographic information system.

Surface runoff. Precipitation, snowmelt, or irrigation water in excess of what can infiltrate the soil surface and be stored in small surface depressions; a major transporter of nonpoint source pollutants.

Surface water. All water naturally open to the atmosphere (rivers, lakes, reservoirs, ponds, streams, impoundments, seas, estuaries, etc.) and all springs, wells, or other collectors directly influenced by surface water.

Topography. The physical features of a geographic surface area including relative elevations and the positions of natural and man-made features.

Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). The sum of the individual wasteload allocations (WLAs) for point sources, load allocations (LAs) for nonpoint sources and natural background, plus a margin of safety

(MOS). TMDLs can be expressed in terms of mass per time, toxicity, or other appropriate measures that relate to a state's water quality standard.

Tributary. A lower order-stream compared to a receiving waterbody. "Tributary to" indicates the largest stream into which the reported stream or tributary flows.

Variance. A measure of the variability of a data set. The sum of the squared deviations (observation – mean) divided by (number of observations) – 1.

DCR. Department of Conservation and Recreation.

DEQ. Virginia Department of Environmental Quality.

VDH. Virginia Department of Health.

Wastewater. Usually refers to effluent from a sewage treatment plant. See also **Domestic wastewater**.

Wastewater treatment. Chemical, Bacterial, and mechanical procedures applied to an industrial or municipal discharge or to any other sources of contaminated water to remove, reduce, or neutralize contaminants.

Water quality. The Bacterial, chemical, and physical conditions of a waterbody. It is a measure of a waterbody's ability to support beneficial uses.

Water quality criteria. Elements of the board's water quality standards, expressed as constituent concentrations, levels, or narrative statements, representing a quality of water that supports a particular use. When criteria are met, water quality will generally protect the designated use.

Water quality standard. Provisions of state or federal law which consist of a designated use or uses for the waters of the Commonwealth and water quality criteria for such waters based upon such uses. Water quality standards are to protect the public health or welfare, enhance the quality of water and serve the purposes of the State Water Control Law (§ 62.1-44.2 et seq. of the Code of Virginia) and the federal Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251 et seq.).

Watershed. A drainage area or basin in which all land and water areas drain or flow toward a central collector such as a stream, river, or lake at a lower elevation.

Appendix C

CLASS VII RE-CLASSIFICATION LETTER TO USEPA USED IN PREVIOUS TRIENNIAL REVIEWS

ATTACHMENT III – CLASS VII RE-CLASSIFICATION LETTER USED IN LAST TRIENNIAL REVIEW

October 14, 2003

MEMORANDUM

TO: EPA Region 3
FROM: David C. Whitehurst
SUBJECT: Supporting Data for Proposed Class VII (Swamp Waters) pH Criteria

As required by 40 CFR •131.20, the purpose of this memo is to provide supporting data and information for Virginia's proposed classification change for several water bodies within the state. The Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) has adopted a revised numerical pH criterion for some waters of the southeastern portion of the state as an effort to reflect the natural conditions of those waters and as an aid for the appropriate assessment of these waters. This criterion change is allowed according to 40 CFR •131.11. (b). (1). (iii).

These waters were classified by the Virginia Water Quality Standards as Class III Coastal and Piedmont Nontidal Waters (9 VAC 25-260-50), with a pH range of 6.0 to 9.0 as is the case for all classes of waters statewide. The amendments to 9 VAC 25-260-5 define Class VII waters as "…waters with naturally occurring low pH and low dissolved oxygen caused by:
(1) low flow velocity that prevents mixing and re-aeration of stagnant, shallow waters and (2) decomposition of vegetation that lowers dissolved oxygen concentrations and causes tannic acids to color the water and lower the pH." The proposed pH criterion for Class VII waters is 4.3 to 9.0. The proposed amendments are a change in the numerical criterion for a particular type or class of water body and not an alteration of designated uses. Aquatic life uses shall be maintained and required effluent pH limits of 6.0 - 9.0 shall be maintained for all discharges to Class VII waters.

The water bodies that are proposed for Class VII designation are frequently referred to as blackwater streams/rivers due to the characteristic dark color that is a result of staining by fulvic and humic acids. The water chemistry is generally characterized by low buffering capacity and high acidity. The pH in peat draining blackwater systems can range from 3.5 - 6 and in mineral soil draining systems from 4 - 7. The naturally occurring acidic conditions of Mid-Atlantic Coastal Plain blackwater streams is well documented in peer reviewed scientific literature (Appendices A, B and G). The US Environmental Protection Agency 1997 publication “Field and laboratory methods for macroinvertebrate and habitat assessment of low gradient nontidal streams” states that "Coastal plain streams are often naturally acidic due to the high concentration of humic and fulvic acids found in the water draining swamp soils. The pH of these streams most often ranges from 3.5 to 7.5." (Appendix B

Ambient water quality monitoring field pH data for stations within waters that are proposed as Class VII is presented in Appendix C as is a photo representative of the water body. Where sufficient data was available, pH values were averaged for each monitoring station on a water body and graphed. Individual pH values for each monitoring station were also graphed. The majority (> 50%) of individual pH values were below 7.

In an effort to confirm that point source discharges were not contributing to the low pH values, the DEQ permitting database was queried for pH violations (pH< 6) at permitted outfalls located on the proposed water bodies (Appendix D). One facility had two compliance violations (failure to report pH), one facility had three violations for discharge over the upper limit for pH (pH> 9), and one facility for effluent discharge less than the lower require limit (pH<6). All of the discharges are less than 1.0 MGD and the discharges are to small tributaries to the proposed Class VII waterbodies.

At the request of EPA Region 3 for DEQ to demonstrate that proposed Class VII waters are not impacted by acid rain that would unnaturally lower pH, daily precipitation data from the Virginia State Climatology Office was compared to DEQ ambient water quality monitoring field pH data (Appendix E). Daily rainfall data for 1996 - 2003 was filtered according to water sample collection dates at DEQ ambient water quality monitoring stations that are within an approximate 15-mile radius of precipitation monitoring stations. Precipitation amounts and field pH values were graphed together and correlation factors calculated. The only discernable pattern was a general negative correlation of precipitation to pH and the majority of r-values were well below 0.5, which does not indicate a close correlation between the variables.

According to an EPA web site (http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/acidrain/index.html) the natural pH of rain is about 5.5 and the average pH of rainfall for the southeast/south-central region of Virginia, where the proposed Class VII waters are located, is 4.6 (Appendix F). Due to the naturally acidic conditions and low acid neutralizing capacity of the Virginia Coastal Plains watersheds, they are considered to be sensitive to atmospheric acid deposition (acid rain) and the effects may either be ameliorated or exacerbated by the type of land use in the watershed. A joint pilot study of episodic acidification of first order blackwater streams in southeastern Virginia conducted by Virginia Commonwealth University and DEQ found significant differences between pH depression duration and magnitude. Study sites within undisturbed old growth watersheds showed the greatest pH depressions and study sites within deforested and agricultural watersheds exhibited less severe pH depressions (Appendix G).

Other states such as North Carolina have narrative and numerical criteria in their water quality standards that recognize some waters may have characteristics outside of the "normal" range established by statewide standards (Appendix H). In light of this and other information presented here, it is logical and necessary that Virginia alter its numerical criterion for pH to reflect the naturally occurring conditions within certain water bodies in the state.

Attachments